

Corrections as of February 14, 2000 in:
**Computability and Complexity from a
Programming Perspective**

Neil D. Jones

DIKU, Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen
Universitetsparken 1, DK-2100 Copenhagen East, Denmark
E-mail: neil@diku.dk

Global Remarks

- **isomorphism** \Rightarrow **bijection** many places!
- Page xiv item 3: **bootsrapping** \Rightarrow **bootstrapping**

Chapter 1

- Section 1.5.2 line 1: **to be able** \Rightarrow
- Page 25 line -2: **statemnt** \Rightarrow **statement**

Chapter2

1. Figure 2.1: **b b** \Rightarrow **b e**
2. Page 30, line 3 and line before 2.1.3: remove **3 \times ;**
3. Page 32, line -2: **Aabbreviation** \Rightarrow **Abbreviation**
4. Page 33, line 3: **atom?cons?** \Rightarrow
5. Page 34: remove **3 \times ;** after **write Y**
6. Page 35 l. 11: **(d₁ d₂ ... d_{n-1} d_n e₁ e₂ ... e_m)** \Rightarrow **(d₁ d₂ ...d_{n-1} e₁ e₂ ...
e_m)**
7. Page 35 l. -10: remove **;** after **write Y**
8. Page 35 l. -5: **copy pp of p** \Rightarrow **copy pp of the body of p**
9. Page 40: remove **2 \times ;** after **write Y**

10. Page 41 l. 4: remove $4 \times$; after `C_`'s
11. Page 34: remove $3 \times$; after `write Y`
12. Page 42 l. -7: add ; after `X := E`
13. Page 43, l. -11: BUG, since `case` uses `=?` ! (Easy to fix, since `case` only compares with constants (`=? E d`): Expand into a series of `if`'s testing `E`, nested according to the structure of `d`.)
14. Page 44, l. -3: $\min(|d|, |e|) \Rightarrow \min(|d|, |e|)$
15. Page 45, rest of exercise 2.6: all wrong, the weight $w(d)$ doesn't decrease for some `d`'s! Solution (thanks Nils!): Define w as follows, using auxiliary r :

$w(d)$	=	$ d - r(d)$	where
$r(a)$	=	1	for any atom <code>a</code>
$r((d_1.d_2))$	=	$1 + r(d_2)$	$r(d)$ = length of right spine of <code>d</code>

Exercise: Using this w , find a running time bound on the equality testing program of Section 2.4.

Chapter 3

1. Page 48, **Definition 3.1.2**... Language `M` can simulate language `L` if ...
2. Just below: Equivalently, `M` can simulate `L` iff ...
3. Footnote, page 48: Recall that `Vars` = $\{V_0, V_1, \dots\}$.
4. Page 49, line -9: delete third `(`.
5. Page 50, **Definition 3.3.1**: delete "`= L-data`".
6. Page 51, \uparrow below diagram: change to `∈`.
7. Page 53, line 2: delete $f(p) \in T - \text{programs}$.
8. Page 53, paragraph above **3.4.1**: move to **Definition 3.1.1**.
9. Page 54, title of **3.4.2**: "interpretation".
10. Page 54, line -3: omit semicolons.
11. Page 55, line -2: omit `GOTO`.
12. Page 56, second program, line -3: Replace.
13. Page 59, **Theorem 3.6.2**: Language `M` can simulate language `L` if ...
14. Page 61, **Figure 3.4**, line 3: `while Y do ...`

15. Page 62, **Figure 3.5**, line 2: `A := hd (tl A);`
16. Page 63, middle: for atom a_i , v is the list nil^{i-2} of $i - 2$ `nil`'s.
17. Page 64, **Exercise 3.1**: replace *T-programs* by *L-programs*.

Chapter 4

1. Page 69, **Proposition 4.1.1**: replace *WHILE-programs* by *WHILE^{1var}-programs*.
2. Page 69, line -6: change to `C := hd (tl P);`
3. Page 69, line -5: change to `Cd := (C.nil);`
4. Page 70, **Figure 4.1**, line 9: `cons T U;`
5. Page 70, **Figure 4.1**, line 11: `=? T U;`
6. Page 70, line -5: “Then” \Rightarrow “Suppose”
7. Page 72, **Figure 4.2**, line 6: remove `)`
8. Page 71, **Definition 4.2.2**: *regarded as an I-program \Rightarrow regarded as I-data*
9. Page 74, **Exercise 4.1**: Prove that for all 1-variable...
10. Page 74, **Exercise 4.1** and **Exercise 4.2**: `i1var \Rightarrow u1var`
11. Page 74, **Exercise 4.3**: `last s \Rightarrow d.`

Chapter 5

In this chapter \mathcal{D} stands for \mathcal{D}_A for a fixed A such that

$$A \supseteq \{:=,;, \text{while}, \text{var}, \text{quote}, \text{cons}, \text{hd}, \text{tl}, =?, \text{nil}\}$$

1. Page 76, **Theorem 5.2.1**, line 2: and for all $s \Rightarrow$ and for all d
2. Page 76, line -2: `ConsExp.`
3. Page 78, line 8: missing “;”.
4. Page 78, line 12: `else` branch \Rightarrow `write` command.
5. Page 79, **Theorem 5.4.2**, 2 lines above: `= \Rightarrow \simeq .`
6. Page 79, **Theorem 5.4.2**: *Proof*. Assume A is extensional, and both
7. Page 80, line -8: • Is the set $\{d \mid \llbracket p \rrbracket(d) \text{ converges}\}$ a finite set? Infinite?

8. Page 81, line 11: missing `write X`.
9. Page 82, line 11: omit `'`.
10. Page 83 **Lemma 5.7.1 Proof:** For simplicity assume the only atom is `nil`. (The idea is easily extended to any finite atom set.)
11. Page 84, line 13: as needed for $2 \Rightarrow$ sufficient for 2.
12. Page 85, line 1: then $3 \Rightarrow$ then 1.
13. Page 85, line 10: range of $A \Rightarrow$ range of f .
14. Page 85, program line 3: `j` \Rightarrow `nil`.
15. Page 85, line -2,3: only WHILE and I languages have been.
16. Page 86, line 1: A set A is *recursive* (also called *decidable*) iff there is a program p that decides the problem $x \in A?$, and terminates on all inputs.
17. Page 86, **Exercise 5.2:** Define a WHILE-computable and total function g satisfying $g(p) = \text{not } \llbracket p \rrbracket^{\text{FL}}(p)$ any WHILE-forloop-program p . Prove that g is not computable by any WHILE-forloop-program.
Consequence: the WHILE language cannot be simulated by the WHILE-forloop language.
18. Page 87, **Exercise 5.14:** Let \mathcal{D} be ordered as in **Lemma 5.7.1**. Show that an infinite set A can be enumerated in increasing order (i.e., is the range of an increasing function) if and only if it is decidable.
19. Page 87, **Exercise 5.15:** `while` \Rightarrow WHILE
20. Page 87, **Exercise 5.17:** Show that there exists a *fixed* program p_0 such that determination of whether $\llbracket p_0 \rrbracket(d)$ terminates for $d \in \mathcal{D}$ is undecidable.

Chapter 6

1. Page 90, line -7: how fast can an interpreter be
2. Page 94, line 3: p , we have $\llbracket \dots \rrbracket$
3. Page 99, lines 7, 8, 9:
 2. Interpretation versus specialization plus execution:

$$time_{\text{int}}(p.d) \text{ versus } time_{\text{spec}}(\text{int}.p) + time_{\text{int}_p}(d)$$

If program p is to be run just once...

4. Page 99, line 12: `ison 1` is more fair since ...

5. Page 99, line -5: `(int.source) ⇒ (spec.int)`
6. Page 100, line -4: `in ⇒ input`
7. Page 102, line -2: `while` loop \Rightarrow `if` statement
8. Page 105, **Figure 6.3**, line 3: $\underline{a(m-1, a(m, n-1))}$
9. Page 106, line -7: `prameters` \Rightarrow `parameters`
10. Page 109, **Exercise 6.1**, line 2: target form `t`. Line 3: omit last “the”.
11. Page 109, **Exercise 6.3**: `bootstrapping` \Rightarrow `bootstrapping`

Chapter 7

1. Page 111 middle: Church-Turing thesis: that *all reasonable computation models are equivalent*.
2. Page 112, line -9: omit “.”
3. Page 112, line -1: `stores` \Rightarrow `states`
4. Page 113, **Definition 7.2.1**: Definition 9.1.1 \Rightarrow Definition 2.1.1
5. Page 113, line -1: $I'_\ell \Rightarrow I_\ell$
6. Page 114, program: remove `I` from all instruction labels
7. **Figure 7.1**: add `p ⊢` at start of each line; and replace every `Iℓ` by I_ℓ
8. Page 116 line -1: `X0,X1,...,Xm`
9. **Figure 7.3**, line 3: $S'\underline{S} \Rightarrow \underline{S}'S$
10. **Figure 7.3**, line -2: If $I_\ell = \text{“ if } S \text{ goto } \ell' \text{”}$ \Rightarrow
If $I_\ell = \text{“ if } S \text{ goto } \ell' \text{ else } \ell'' \text{”}$
11. **Figure 7.3**, line -1: $\ell' \Rightarrow \ell''$
12. **Figure 7.4**, line 3: omit last ‘
13. **Section 7.4**: add at end:
Language 2CM is identical to language CM, except that every program has exactly two counters.
14. Page 119, line -6: `SRAM` \Rightarrow `RAM`
15. Page 122, line -6: $m \Rightarrow n$ (twice)
16. Page 122, line -5: $C_1 \Rightarrow C_0$ (twice)
17. Page 122, line -5: add at end: and $C_0 \rightsquigarrow C_1, \dots, C_{n-1} \rightsquigarrow C_n$.

18. Page 123, lines 1,2,3: $\Sigma \Rightarrow \Sigma^*$
19. Page 124, **Exercise 7.5**: Assume x, y are initial values of two different counters.
20. Page 124, **Exercise 7.6**: more than memory cell \Rightarrow more than one memory cell

Chapter 8

1. Page 129 bottom: omit last sentence.
2. **Figure 8.4**: $c_D \Rightarrow bin$
3. **Figure 8.5, line 3**: omit 1+. Omit line 4.
4. **Section 8.3.2**: omit all except first sentence, defining bin
5. **Section 8.4**, line 2: omit first “the”
6. **Section 8.4, everywhere**: replace X0 by Acc. Point: to avoid the redundancy caused by having the value of register 0 on both tape 1 and tape 3.
7. Page 133, line -4: $c_{01B}\tilde{L} \Rightarrow c_{01B}(\tilde{L})$
8. Page 133, line -2: $;\bar{I}_k$
9. Page 134, lines 7, -3: **else** clauses omitted (obvious additions)
10. Page 135, lines 2, 4: $n \Rightarrow k$
11. Page 135, line 4:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = y \text{ iff } q \vdash \sigma_0 \rightarrow^* \sigma \text{ where } \sigma(0) = y$$
12. Page 135, line 8: X1 \Rightarrow X0 (4 places)
13. Page 138, line 6: add Z := 0 at start of line
14. Page 138, lines 7, 8: Z \geq 4 \Rightarrow Z \geq 3

Chapter 9

1. Page 137, line -10: omit F,
2. Page 137, line -3: omit “partial”
3. **Figure 9.1**, line -2: $f(E) \Rightarrow f E$
4. **Figure 9.1**, line -2: $\mathcal{E}[[B]u = w \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}[[B]Bu = w$

5. Page 138, line -8: `(append (cons (tl hd Z) (tl Z)))`
6. **Figure 9.3**: `Cd:=hd hd X; ⇒ Cd := cons (hd hd X) nil`
7. **Figure 9.3**, line 6: `add X := hd St; before write X`
8. **Figure 9.3**: `var' X ⇒ var'`
9. **Figure 9.3**: `docon's ⇒ docons'`
10. **Figure 9.3**: `cons U T ⇒ cons T U`
11. Several places: \mathcal{D} instead of \mathcal{D} .
12. Page 146, line 7: omit “and occurrences of W ”
13. Page 146, middle: `C; C ⇒ C; D`
14. Page 146, line -6: omit extra “)”
15. Page 148, line 2: until no redexes occur in the input.
16. Page 148, line 6: if \Rightarrow for all
17. **Figure 9.5**: this terminates a bit too often.
18. **Exercise 9.1**: Omit third sentence.

Chapter 10

1. Page 151, line 12: `interesction ⇒ intersection`
2. **Figure 10.1**, line 4: start with `#L ℓ ::= #L ℓ'`
3. **Figure 10.1**, line 7: start with `L ℓ # ::= #L ℓ +1`
4. **Figure 10.1**, line 9: start with `L ℓ # ::= #L ℓ'`
5. Page 156, line 6: omit 2 commas
6. Page 156, lines 11,12: wrong!
7. Page 158, line 6: omit $u-$
8. Page 159: the reasoning needs tightening up. Sentence “Further, for every...” isn’t clear. Also, \vec{i} contains $\#$, which isn’t an index.
9. Page 160: needs some reworking.
10. Page 161, lines 15, 16: `$u_i \Rightarrow v_i$`
11. Page 162, line 10: `$u_{i_1}u_{i_2} \dots u_{i_m} = v_{i_1}v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_m}$`
12. **Exercise 10.3**, line 2: `$(u_n, v_n) \Rightarrow (u_k, v_k)$`

Chapter 11

1. Page 167, quote line 4: rational integers \Rightarrow rational numbers
2. **Exercise 11.4:** Use the binomial theorem to prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

$$\binom{n}{k} \leq 2^n$$

(Not so hard, no hint necessary!)

Chapter 12

Sections 12.1, 12.2, 12.4.1 will be given a different and clearer presentation in the lectures.

1. Page 194, line -6: h is total recursive, $\Rightarrow h$ is total, and computable since f, g are computable,
2. Page 194, line -3: Define $g(d) = f(tl(d)) \Rightarrow$ Define $g(d) = tl(f(d))$
3. Page 195, lines -3, -4:
 2. Each inference rule R_r has a *type* $P_1 \times \dots \times P_k \rightarrow P$ where ...
4. Page 197, lines -1,-2, page 198, lines 1,2:
Define a *proof tree* \mathfrak{t} to be a proof tree form such that every subtree

$$(\text{nil}^r \text{ d } (\text{nil}^{r_1} \text{ d}_1 \dots) \dots (\text{nil}^{r_k} \text{ d}_k \dots))$$

satisfies:

- Each \mathfrak{d}_i is a proof tree; and
- There is a predicate $R_r \subseteq \mathcal{D}^k \times \mathcal{D}$ of type $P_1 \times \dots \times P_k \rightarrow P$ such that

$$((\mathfrak{d}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{d}_k), \mathfrak{d}) \in R_r$$

5. Page 199, lines -5, -7: replace F by S
6. Page 201 top: replace by

Expressions. This is by an easy induction on syntax:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\text{nil}}(d, d') &\equiv d' = \text{nil} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\text{hd E}}(d, d') &\equiv \exists d'' (d = (d'.d'')) \\ \mathbf{F}_{\text{tl E}}(d, d') &\equiv \exists d'' (d = (d''.d')) \\ \mathbf{F}_X(d, d') &\equiv d' = d \\ \mathbf{F}_{(\text{E1.E2})}(d, d') &\equiv \exists r \exists s \mathbf{F}_{\text{E1}}(d, r) \wedge \mathbf{F}_{\text{E2}}(d, s) \wedge d' = (r.s) \end{aligned}$$

7. **Exercise 12.1:** omit “first”

Chapter 13

1. **Definition 13.2.2:** $h : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_\perp$
2. Page 207 middle Part 2: interchange m, n
3. Page 209 line 6: $v_0 \Rightarrow v_1$
4. Page 209 displayed equation in item 3:

$$\text{ins}_\ell(\bar{s}) = \bar{s}' \text{ iff } \mathbb{I}_\ell : (\ell, s) \rightarrow (\ell', s')$$

5. Page 209 line -6: $g(s) = \dots$
6. Page 210, lines , 11: $\mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \mathbb{D}$
7. Page 210, last line of program: `write Y` \Rightarrow `write New`
8. Page 210, line -3, -2: There exist WHILE-computable total functions $U : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $T : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that ...
9. Page 211, line 3: add “)” at end
10. **Exercise 13.5**, paragraph 2: Prove that if f is partial computable, there exists a partial computable function g with $g \approx \varepsilon y . f(x, y)$. Hint: use dovetailing as in Theorem 5.5.1.

Chapter 14

1. Page 223, line -14: ... hence any \mathbb{I}^\uparrow program can be compiled into \mathbb{I} .
2. Page 224, **Example 2:** (Remark: The program uses a version of \mathbb{I}^\uparrow with `sysntax` extended as seen in Chapter 2.)

Chapter 15

1. Page 241, line 1: program \Rightarrow problem
2. Page 242, line -13: that that \Rightarrow that

Chapter 16

1. Page 241, line 1: program \Rightarrow problem
2. Page 242, line -13: that that \Rightarrow that
3. Page 249 bottom:
 - In WHILE, GOTO and F, the only atom used is `nil`.
 - A *fixed input set*, namely $\{0, 1\}^*$ or a subset ...

- In RAM, the input is offline rather than in a register. All registers are initialized to 0, except that register R0 is initialized to the length of the input.

4. Page 252, lines 1, 5 and -4: L-program $q \Rightarrow$ M-program q
5. **Definition 16.4.2:** missing one clause

$$\mathcal{T}[\text{atom=? E}]\sigma = 1 + \mathcal{T}[\text{E}]\sigma$$

6. Page 254, line -4: should be

$$C \vdash \sigma \rightarrow \sigma', \text{ and } C; \text{while E do } C \vdash^{time} \sigma' \Rightarrow t'$$

7. Page 258, line -3: SRAM_{ro} \Rightarrow SRAM

Chapter 17

1. **Figure 17.3**, line 1: wWhere \Rightarrow Where
2. Page 267, line 1: 1 \Rightarrow 0
3. Page 268, line 9: if $a_i = 0$, else \Rightarrow if $d_i = 0$, else
4. **Figure 17.5**, time $t = 8$: Hd₈ should be 1
5. **Exercise 17.1:** For input-output, let the `readin` program have two special variables: `eof`, which has value `true` if no more input is left to be read; and `next` which, whenever referenced, yields the next input value if any exists. If there is no remaining input, then execution aborts.

Chapter 18

1. Page 271, line 3: omit “invariant”
2. Page 271, lines 9-11: ... (e.g., the choice to represent ... adjacency lists should not make a complexity difference)
3. **Lemma 18.1.3** end: $\text{LINTIME}^L = \text{LINTIME}^M$, and analogously for PTIME under relations $\preceq^{ptime}, \equiv^{ptime}$.
4. Page 274, middle: change to
 Since an SRAM-program can at most increase any cell X_i by 1 in one step, none of the values v_i can exceed $t + n$ in value (since the initial value of every cell X_i is 0, excepting X_0 which is initialized to n .)
5. Page 274, lines -7, -6: change to
 ... takes time more than $time_q^M(d) \leq a \cdot u \log u$ to simulate, where $u = time_p^{SRAM}(d) + n$. Thus one simulation ...

6. **Theorem 18.2.4:**

$$\text{PTIME}^{\text{TM}} = \text{PTIME}^{\text{GOTO}} = \text{PTIME}^{\text{SRAM}} = \text{PTIME}^{\text{WHILE}} = \text{PTIME}^{\text{I}}$$

7. Page 276 bottom:

$$\text{LINTIME}^{\text{TM}} = \text{LINTIME}^{\text{GOTO}} = \text{LINTIME}^{\text{SRAM}} = \text{LINTIME}^{\text{WHILE}} = \text{LINTIME}^{\text{I}}$$

8. Page 279, lines -10 to -8:

We shall prove that if

$$M = (\Sigma, Q, \ell_{init}, \ell_{fin}, T)$$

is a 1-tape Turing machine running in time f and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is a 2-tape machine

$$M' = (\Sigma', Q', \ell'_{init}, \ell'_{fin}, T')$$

9. **Figure 18.1:** remove extra commas in lines (8), (9)

10. **Exercise 18.1:** program-independent constant-factor slowdown

11. **Exercise 18.5:** with at most a constant-factor slowdown. Is the slowdown program-dependent?

Chapter 19

1. Page 288, line -2:

$$\text{time}_{\text{tu}}(\text{p.d.nil}^n) \leq k \cdot \min(n, \text{time}_{\text{p}}(d))$$

2. Page 289, lines -4, -5:

$$\text{time}_{\text{tu}}(\text{p.d.nil}^n) \leq k \cdot \text{time}_{\text{p}}(d)$$

$$\text{time}_{\text{tu}}(\text{p.d.nil}^n) \leq k \cdot n$$

3. Page 292, program line 2:

`Timebound := [[b]](X): (*Insert body of b here *)`

4. **Definition 19.5.2:** ...and a constant $c > 0$ such that ...

5. **Definition 19.5.2** line 3: ... $\text{time}_{\text{b}}(\text{nil}^n) \leq c \cdot f(n)$

6. **Exercise 19.5:** This exercise requires a model not yet introduced: the *read-only* variants of Section 21.2, page 316.

7. **Exercise 19.2:** Prove Theorem 19.5.4 for a special case: that there are problems ...

Chapter 20

1. Page 305, line 4: omit “program”
2. Page 305, line -5: ... a function f such that $f = \llbracket p \rrbracket$ implies $p \notin Q$, ...
3. Page 306, line 3 after figure: different from $\llbracket p_k \rrbracket(n)$ where ...

Chapter 21

1. Page 317 line -8: omit “ = $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ ”
2. **Definition 21.1.10:** Extra) in 3 superscripts
3. Page 322 line 9: should start with $(\ell, \dots B_1 L_1 \underline{S_1} B \dots$
4. **Proposition 21.6.1** item 1: $x \cdot y \Rightarrow x - y$
5. **Exercise 21.2:** Prove Corollary 21.1.6.

Chapter 22

1. **Exercise 22.1:** add *Hint*: “if” is immediate from earlier results since non-deterministic Turing machines include deterministic ones. For “only if” modify the pattern of Theorem 13.4.1 to apply to a given nondeterministic Turing machine.

Chapter 23

1. **Figure 23.2** line 6: Counter := n;
2. **Figure 23.4** line 11: for k := 1 to r do {
3. **Theorem 23.4.3:** $\text{NSPACE}(f) \subseteq \bigcup_b \text{SPACE}(b \cdot f^2)$
4. **Exercise 23.2:** Estimate the running time of the state transition-searching algorithm of Theorem 23.3.4.
5. **Exercise 23.4:** Estimate the running time of the LOGSPACE algorithm of Theorem 23.3.2 for deciding membership in $\overline{\text{GAP}}$.

Chapter 24

1. **Exercise 24.3:** Replace GOTO by GOTOro, and Fro by F+ro.

Chapter 25

1. Page 365, line -7: ... may have many hardest problems.
2. Page 367, line -8:
GAP = $\{(G, v_0, v_{end}) \mid \text{directed graph } G = (V, E) \text{ has a path from vertex } v_0 \text{ to } v_{end} \}$
3. **Proposition 25.3.5:** If A is \leq -hard for \mathcal{D} , and $A \leq B$, and $B \in \mathcal{D}$, then B is also hard for \mathcal{D} .
4. Page 375, lines 15-17: However for this approach to be useful it is necessary that problem H be well-chosen: simply stated, and such that it can be reduced to many interesting problems.
5. **Definition 25.6.1:** ... $time_p(d) \leq a \cdot |d|$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$.
6. **Theorem 25.6.6:** ... p is a while-free I-program and p accepts d .

Chapter 26

1. Page 384, line 2: ... and adding $i + 1$ for each
2. **Lemma 26.1.5**, line 3: remove “ p and”
3. Page 385, lines 18, 20 (definitions of H , T): true iff 1 is the i th bit of ...
4. Page 394, line 18: ... any won position $p \in W$ is

Chapter 27

1. Page 403, line -11: VertexCover
2. Page 403, line -4: $S \setminus C \Rightarrow V \setminus C$
3. Page 404, line -10: $(u, w) \in E$

Appendix section A.3.11

1. Item 1: $g(n) \leq r \cdot f(n)$
2. Item 2: for all but finitely many n , $g(n) \geq r \cdot f(n)$