

Lecture 36: Epistemic Logic

CS 181O
Spring 2016
Kim Bruce

Some slides based on those of Christina Unger



Epistemic Dynamic Logic

- Let a be accessibility relation for an agent, ϕ is statement that can be true or false, while α is an accessibility relation.
- $\phi ::= \top, p, \neg\phi, \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2, [\alpha]\phi, [!\phi_1]\phi_2$
- $\alpha ::= a \mid ?\phi \mid \alpha_1; \alpha_2 \mid \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \mid \alpha^*$
- Interpret in model $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{W}, V, R \rangle$ where \mathcal{W} is possible worlds, V assigns values to prop letters, and R assigns each agent an equivalence relation to show accessible worlds

Common Knowledge

- $[!\phi]\psi$ true iff after public announcement of ϕ , ψ is true. *Restricts to worlds satisfying ϕ*
- Suppose have update: $[!(i \cup j)^*]\phi$
 - Announce it is common knowledge between i & j that ϕ .
 - If ϕ is already common knowledge then no impact
 - If not common knowledge then remove all worlds!
 - because restricts to all those worlds for which it is common knowledge.
 - Must be careful
- From now on write $C_{ij}\phi$ in place of $[!(i \cup j)^*]\phi$

Common Knowledge & Presuppositions

- Presupposition is common knowledge between speaker and listener.
 - Jan washed her car
 - $\exists c. C_{ij}(\text{car}(c) \wedge \text{owns}(\text{jan},c) \wedge \text{female}(\text{jan})) \wedge \text{washed}(\text{jan},c)$
- First part common knowledge, last not!

General Situation

- If γ has ϕ as a presupposition, then interpret γ as $(C_{ij} \phi) \wedge \gamma$
- What happens if state presupposition first?
 - Jan has a car. Jan washed her car.
 - $![\exists c.(\text{car}(c) \wedge \text{owns}(\text{jan},c))]$ $\exists c. C_{ij}(\text{car}(c) \wedge \text{owns}(\text{jan},c) \wedge \text{female}(\text{jan})) \wedge \text{washed}(\text{jan},c)$

Presupposition Accommodation

- Suppose presupposition p is common knowledge ($C_{ij} p$). Then updating with $!([C_{ij} p] \wedge q)$ is equivalent to $!q$ (*no thinning*)
- If p not common knowledge then $!([C_{ij} p] \wedge q)$ leads to inconsistent state.
- On the other hand $!p$, followed by $!([C_{ij} p] \wedge q)$ is again consistent (*because !p made it common knowledge*)
- Accommodate p by replacing by $![p]!([C_{ij} p] \wedge q)$

Questions

- Answers to questions changes possible worlds.
 - What is difference between statement S and question “is S ?” and responding.
 - $[S]$ restricts world to those where S is true
 - $[is S?]$ changes focus of conversation to expecting an answer.
 - Response of $![yes]$ or $![no]$ restricts worlds to those where S is true or those where S is false.

Actually more Complex

- Did John go to the store?
 - He stayed home and watched a movie.
- Public announcement ends up restricting worlds to those where John not go to the store

Programming Common Knowledge

- See CAIA.hs
- See definition of mo
 - type: displayS5 mo
- and see effect of
 - $\text{displayS5 (upd_pa mo (Disj [p, Neg q]))}$
 - *world 2 disappears*

Solving Muddy Children

- $\text{displayS5 initMuddy (at end of file CAIA.hs)}$
 - p_i = the i th child is muddy
 - each child can't tell whether they are muddy
 - real world is 7: p_2, p_3, p_4
- Father announce at least one is muddy:
 - $m_1 = \text{convert (upd_pa initMuddy (Disj [p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4]))}$
 - world where all false disappears
 - a_K, b_K, \dots state a knows its state, ...
 - all false after first round, still false after second
 - Down to only one state and done!!!

Questions?