

Lecture 12: Language to Logic

CS 181O
Spring 2016
Kim Bruce

Some slide content taken from Unger and Michaelis

Last Time ...

- Let e be type of elements in universe, t be truth values.
- Type of sentence is t
- Meaning and types of determiners
 - “a” $\Rightarrow \lambda Q: e \rightarrow t. \lambda P: e \rightarrow t. \exists x: e. (Q(x) \wedge P(x))$
 - “every” $\Rightarrow \lambda Q: e \rightarrow t. \lambda P: e \rightarrow t. \forall x: e. (Q(x) \rightarrow P(x))$
 - “the” $\Rightarrow \lambda P: e \rightarrow t. \lambda Q: e \rightarrow t. \exists x: e. \forall y: e. ((P(y) \leftrightarrow x = y) \wedge Q(x))$
- All have type $(e \rightarrow t) \rightarrow (e \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t$

More types:

- Type of determiner: $(e \rightarrow t) \rightarrow (e \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t$
- Type of Noun?
 - $e \rightarrow t$
- Type of noun phrase?
 - $(e \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t$
- Type of verb phrase?
 - $e \rightarrow t$
- Type of sentence? $t!$

Natural Language Semantics

- Take grammar from lecture 6 and translate sentences to predicate logic.
- Use lambda calculus for semantics of phrases.
- Compose using function application.
- Meaning of sentence is formula of predicate logic.

Grammar from lecture 6

- $S \rightarrow NP VP$
- $NP \rightarrow \text{Snow White} \mid \text{Alice} \mid \text{Dorothy} \mid \text{Goldilocks} \mid \text{DET CN} \mid \text{DET RCN}$
- $DET \rightarrow \text{the} \mid \text{every} \mid \text{some} \mid \text{no}$
- $CN \rightarrow \text{girl} \mid \text{boy} \mid \text{princess} \mid \text{dwarf} \mid \text{giant} \mid \text{sword} \mid \text{dagger}$
- $RCN \rightarrow \text{CN that VP} \mid \text{CN that NP TV}$
- $VP \rightarrow \text{laughed} \mid \text{cheered} \mid \text{shuddered} \mid \text{TV NP} \mid \text{DV NP NP}$
- $TV \rightarrow \text{loved} \mid \text{admired} \mid \text{helped} \mid \text{defeated} \mid \text{caught}$
- $DV \rightarrow \text{gave}$

Syntax

- Review FSynF.hs

```
data Term = Var Variable | Struct String [Term]
           deriving (Eq,Ord)
data Formula a = Atom String [a]
               | Eq a a
               | Neg (Formula a)
               | Impl (Formula a) (Formula a)
               | Equi (Formula a) (Formula a)
               | Conj [Formula a]
               | Disj [Formula a]
               | Forall Variable (Formula a)
               | Exists Variable (Formula a)
           deriving Eq
```

Translating

type LF = Formula Term — LF is logical formula

lfSent :: Sent -> LF

lfSent (Sent np vp) = (lfNP np) (lfVP vp)

lfNP :: NP -> (Term -> LF) -> LF

lfNP SnowWhite = \ p -> p (Struct "SnowWhite" [])

lfNP Alice = \ p -> p (Struct "Alice" [])

lfNP Dorothy = \ p -> p (Struct "Dorothy" [])

lfNP Goldilocks = \ p -> p (Struct "Goldilocks" [])

lfNP LittleMook = \ p -> p (Struct "LittleMook" [])

lfNP Atreyu = \ p -> p (Struct "Atreyu" [])

lfNP (NP1 det cn) = (lfDET det) (lfCN cn)

lfNP (NP2 det rcn) = (lfDET det) (lfRCN rcn)

From MCWPL.hs

Translating

lfVP :: VP -> Term -> LF

lfVP Laughed = \ t -> Atom "laugh" [t]

lfVP Cheered = \ t -> Atom "cheer" [t]

lfVP Shuddered = \ t -> Atom "shudder" [t]

lfVP (VP1 tv np) =

\ subj -> lfNP np (\ obj -> lfTV tv (subj,obj))

lfVP (VP2 dv np1 np2) =

\ subj -> lfNP np1 (\ iobj -> lfNP np2 (\ dobj -> lfDV dv (subj,iobj,dobj)))

lfTV :: TV -> (Term,Term) -> LF

lfTV Loved = \ (t1,t2) -> Atom "love" [t1,t2]

lfTV Admired = \ (t1,t2) -> Atom "admire" [t1,t2]

lfTV Helped = \ (t1,t2) -> Atom "help" [t1,t2]

lfTV Defeated = \ (t1,t2) -> Atom "defeat" [t1,t2]

Translating

```
lfDV :: DV -> (Term,Term,Term) -> LF
lfDV Gave = \ (t1,t2,t3) -> Atom "give" [t1,t2,t3]
```

```
lfCN :: CN -> Term -> LF
lfCN Girl  = \ t -> Atom "girl" [t]
lfCN Boy   = \ t -> Atom "boy"  [t]
```

```
lfCN Princess = \ t -> Atom "princess" [t]
lfCN Dwarf    = \ t -> Atom "dwarf"   [t]
lfCN Giant    = \ t -> Atom "giant"   [t]
lfCN Wizard   = \ t -> Atom "wizard"  [t]
lfCN Sword    = \ t -> Atom "sword"   [t]
lfCN Dagger   = \ t -> Atom "dagger"  [t]
```

Translating

- Rather than continuing to paste code here, I'll just refer you to the file MCWPL.hs
- Notice that there are two evaluation functions. One, eval, just interprets formulas that involve variables, while the other, evl, evaluates formulas that involve function symbols as well.

Translating sentences

- MCWPL.hs includes three sentences

- lf1: "Some dwarf defeated some giant"

```
lfSent (Sent (NP1 Some Dwarf)
          (VP1 Defeated (NP1 Some Giant)))
```

- lf2: "The wizard that Dorothy admired laughed"

```
lfSent (Sent (NP2 The (RCN2 Wizard That Dorothy Admired))
          Laughed)
```

- lf3: "The princess that helped Alice shuddered"

```
lfSent (Sent (NP2 The (RCN1 Princess That (VP1 Helped Alice)))
          Shuddered)
```

Translating sentences

- MCWPL.hs includes sample sentences

- lf1:

"Some dwarf defeated some giant" \Rightarrow

Sent (NP1 Some Dwarf) (VP1 Defeated (NP1 Some Giant))

lfSent (...) \Rightarrow

$E x_2 \text{ conj}[\text{dwarf}[x_2], E x_1 \text{ conj}[\text{giant}[x_1], \text{defeat}[x_2, x_1]]]$

i.e.

$\exists x_2 (\text{dwarf}(x_2) \wedge \exists x_1 (\text{giant}(x_1) \wedge \text{defeat}(x_2, x_1)))$

Translating sentences

- lf₂:

“The wizard that Dorothy admired laughed” \Rightarrow

Sent (NP₂ The (RCN₂ Wizard That Dorothy Admired)) Laughed

lfSent (...) \Rightarrow

$$\exists x_1 \text{ conj}[A x_2 (\text{conj}[\text{wizard}[x_2], \\ \text{admire}[\text{Dorothy}, x_2]] \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2), \text{laugh}[x_1]]$$

i.e.

$$\exists x_1 (\forall x_2 ((\text{wizard}(x_2) \wedge \text{admire}(\text{Dorothy}(x_2))) \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2) \wedge \\ \text{laugh}(x_1))$$

Translating sentences

- lf₃:

“The princess that helped Alice shuddered” \Rightarrow

Sent (NP₂ The (RCN₁ Princess That (VP₁ Helped Alice))

lfSent (...) \Rightarrow

$$\exists x_1 \text{ conj}[A x_2 (\text{conj}[\text{princess}[x_2], \text{help}[x_2, \text{Alice}]] \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2), \\ \text{shudder}[x_1]]$$

i.e.

$$\exists x_1 ((\forall x_2 ((\text{princess}(x_2) \wedge \text{help}(x_2, \text{Alice})) \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2) \wedge \\ \text{shudder}(x_1))$$

Semantics of Predicate Logic

- Now ready to show interpretations in a model.
- See file Model.hs (and Model2.hs) for examples of models of language in FSynF.hs
 - $D = \{A, B, C, \dots, Z, \text{Unspec}\}$
 - Because declared as Bounded, can refer to as [minBound..maxBound]
 - Associate constants with elements of D (= Entity)

Model Encoding

- Includes functions to convert from lists to one-place characteristic functions (i.e., for unary relations)
 - Characteristic functions for binary and ternary relations are Curried (e.g., Entity \rightarrow Entity \rightarrow Bool)
- *Ignore passivize and self for now.*