

Lecture 14: Type Safety & Run-Time Stack

CSC 131

Kim Bruce

Type Safety

- Is there any connection between type checking rules and semantics?
- If $E \vdash e: T$, what does that say about computation $(e, env) \Rightarrow v$?
- If E and env “correspond”, then expect $v: T$

Typed PCF

- $T ::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Bool} \mid T \rightarrow T$
- Provide identifiers w/type when introduced.
- $e ::= x \mid n \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{succ} \mid \text{pred} \mid \text{iszero} \mid$
if e then e else $e \mid (\text{fn } (x:T) \Rightarrow e) \mid (e \ e) \mid$
rec $(x:T) \Rightarrow e$ *Ignore recursion for now!*

Type-checking Rules

E is *type environment*: identifiers \rightarrow types

$E \vdash n: \text{Int}$, if n is an integer

$E \vdash \text{true}: \text{Bool}$, $E \vdash \text{false}: \text{Bool}$

$E \vdash \text{succ}: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$, $E \vdash \text{pred}: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

$E \vdash \text{iszero}: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$E \vdash x: E(x)$

More Type-Checking Rules

$$E \vdash e : \text{Bool}, E \vdash e_1 : T, E \vdash e_2 : T$$

$$E \vdash \text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 : T$$

$$E \vdash f : T \rightarrow U, E \vdash x : T$$

$$E \vdash (f \ x) : U$$

$$E[x:T] \vdash \text{body} : U$$

$$E \vdash (\text{fn } (x:T) \Rightarrow \text{body}) : T \rightarrow U$$

Computation Rules

$$(\text{id}, env) \Rightarrow env(\text{id}) \quad (n, env) \Rightarrow n, \text{ for } n \text{ an int}$$

$$(\text{true}, env) \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{false}, env) \Rightarrow \text{false}$$

$$(\text{succ}, env) \Rightarrow \text{succ} \quad (\text{pred}, env) \Rightarrow \text{pred}$$

$$(\text{iszero}, env) \Rightarrow \text{iszero}$$

$$\frac{(b, env) \Rightarrow \text{true}, (e_1, env) \Rightarrow v}{(\text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, env) \Rightarrow v} \quad \dots$$

Computation Rules

$$((\text{fn } (x:T) \Rightarrow e), env) \Rightarrow \langle \text{fn } (x:T) \Rightarrow e, env \rangle$$

$$\frac{(e_1, env) \Rightarrow \langle \text{fn } (x:T) \Rightarrow e_3, env' \rangle, (e_2, env) \Rightarrow v_2, (e_3, env' \{v_2/x\}) \Rightarrow v}{(e_1 \ e_2), env) \Rightarrow v}$$

Typing Values

$$\vdash_v n : \text{Int}, \text{ for } n \text{ an integer}$$

$$\vdash_v \text{true} : \text{Bool}$$

$$\vdash_v \text{false} : \text{Bool}$$

$$\vdash_v \text{succ} : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$$

$$\vdash_v \text{pred} : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$$

$$\vdash_v \text{iszero} : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$$

Environment Compatibility

$$E' \vdash \text{fn } (x:T) \Rightarrow e: T \rightarrow U$$

$$\vdash_v \langle \text{fn } (x:T) \Rightarrow e, \text{env} \rangle: T \rightarrow U$$

for E' s.t E' and env are *compatible*

E and env are *compatible* iff

$\text{domain}(E) = \text{domain}(\text{env})$, and

for all x in $\text{domain}(\text{env})$, $\vdash_v \text{env}(x): E(x)$

Safety

Theorem: (Subject Reduction) Let E and env be compatible environments.

Let e be a term of typed PCF. If $E \vdash e: T$ and $(e, \text{env}) \Rightarrow v$, then $\vdash_v v: T$.

Proof: By induction on proof of $E \vdash e: T$

Proof

Conditional: S'pose $E \vdash \text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2: T$ because

$E \vdash b$: boolean, $E \vdash e_1: T$, and $E \vdash e_2: T$.

Two cases depending on the evaluation of b .

Case 1: $(b, \text{env}) \Rightarrow \text{true}$.

Then if $(e_1, \text{env}) \Rightarrow v$, it follows that

$(\text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, \text{env}) \Rightarrow v$.

By induction and $E \vdash e_1: T$, it follows that $\vdash_v v: T$, which is all we need.

Case 2: $(b, \text{env}) \Rightarrow \text{false}$ is similar.

Skip rest

Type Safety

- Errors have been made in type systems.
 - See examples in OO languages
- Need to verify that type system is consistent with semantics.
- Progress Lemma (*computations don't get stuck*) not shown here, but also important

Attributes of Variable

- Scope Done!
- Lifetime
- Location
- Value

Lifetime

- FORTRAN - all allocated statically - ∞
- Stack-based (C/C++/Java/Pascal/...)
 - local vbles/ parameters: method/procedure/block entry to exit
 - allocate space in activation record on run-time stack
- Heap allocated variable
 - lifetime independent of scope
- Static - global vbles or static vbles

Value & Location

- Sometimes referred to as l-value & r-value
 - $x = x + 1$ *What does each occurrence of x stand for?*
 - location normally bound when declaration processed
- Normally values change dynamically
 - if frozen at compilation then called constants
 - Java final variables frozen when declaration processed.
 - Java static final bound at compile time.

Aliases

- x and y are aliases if both refer to same location.
- If x, y are aliases then changes to x affect value of y.
- Java has uniform model where assignment is by “sharing”, so create aliases.
- Languages that mix are more confusing.
 - Common mistakes occur when not realize aliases. E.g, add elt to priority queue and then change it ...

Pointers

- “Pointers have been lumped with the goto statement as a marvelous way to create impossible to understand programs”
 - K & R, C Programming Language
- Problems
 - Dangling pointers -- leave pointer to recycled space
 - stack frame popped or recycled heap item
 - Dereference nil pointers or other illegal address
 - Unreachable garbage
 - in C: $p+i$ different from $(int)p + i$

Program Units

- Separate segments of code allowing separate declarations of variables
 - Ex.: procedures, functions, methods, blocks
 - During execution represented by unit instance
 - fixed code segment
 - activation record with “fixed” ways of accessing items

Activation Record Structure

- Return address
- Access info on parameters (*how?*)
- Space for local vbles
- *How get access to non-local variables?*

Invoking Function

- Make parameters available to callee
 - E.g., put on stack or in registers
- Save state of caller (registers, prog. counter)
- Ensure callee knows where to return
- Enter callee at first instruction

Returning from Function

- If function, leave result in accessible location
 - e.g., register or top of stack
- Get return address and transfer execution back
- Caller restores state

Parameter Passing

- Call-by-reference (FORTRAN, Pascal, C++)
 - pass address (l-value) of parameter
- Call-by-copying (Algol 60, Pascal, C, C++)
 - pass (r-)value of parameter
 - options: in, out, in-out
- Call-by-name (Algol 60)
 - pass actual expression (as “thunk”) - not macro!
 - re-evaluate at each access
 - lazy gives efficient implementation if no side effects

Call-by-name

```
procedure swap(a, b : integer);  
  var temp : integer;  
  begin  
    temp := a;  
    a := b;  
    b := temp  
  end;
```

- Won't always work!
- swap(i, z[i]) with i = 1, z[1] = 3, z[3] = 17
- Can't write swap that always works!

What about Java?

- Conceptually call-by-sharing
- Implemented as call-by-value of a reference