

Homework 6

Due Friday, 10/17/08

Please turn in your homework solutions by the beginning of class to the dropoff folder on `vpn.cs.pomona.edu` in directory `/common/cs/cs131/dropbox`. Make sure that all of your files include your name and the problem number in a comment.

1. (30 points) Parsing Lists

Given the following BNF:

```
<exp> ::= ( <list> ) | a
<list> ::= <list>, <exp> | <exp>
```

- (a) Draw the parse tree for `((a,a),a,(a))`.
- (b) Write a lexer for terms of this form. The tokens are simply "a", "(", ")", and ",". The tokens generated by the lexer should be from the following datatype:

```
datatype tokens = AToken | LParen | RParen | Comma | EOF;
```

where EOF marks the end of the token list. The main lexer function should be of the form:

```
fun lexstr s = getTokens (explode s);
```

You will need to provide `getTokens`.

As an example, you should get the following results when testing `lexstr`:

```
lexstr "((a,a),a,(a,a))"; val it =
[LParen,LParen,AToken,Comma,AToken,RParen,Comma,AToken,Comma,
LParen,AToken,Comma,AToken,RParen,RParen,EOF] : tokens list
```

You may need to insert the following lines at the beginning of your program in order to see the entire output:

```
Control.Print.printDepth:=100;
Control.Print.printLength:= 200;
```

- (c) An alternative grammar for the above language in EBNF is

```
<exp> ::= ( <list> ) | a
<list> ::= <exp> {, <exp> }*
```

where the * means the items in parentheses repeat 0 or more times.

We can rewrite this as BNF as

```

<exp> ::= ( <list> ) | a
<list> ::= <exp> <expTail>
<expTail> ::= e | , <exp> <expTail>

```

where `e` stands for the empty string.

Compute First and Follow for each non-terminal of this grammar and show that the grammar follows the first and second rules of predictive parsing.

- (d) The following datatype definition can be used to represent the expressions generated by the grammar above:

```
datatype exp = A | List of exp list | ASError of string;
```

[The last item is simply there to handle the case of errors.] Thus the list (a,a,a) would be represented as `List [A,A,A]`, while the term in part (a) would be represented as `List [List [A,A],A,List [A]]`.

Write a predictive recursive descent parser for the grammar in part 1c. It should generate abstract syntax trees for the datatype `listExp`.

Hint: Watch the types of your parsing functions. You should have

```

val parseExp = fn : tokens list -> exp * tokens list
val parseList = fn : tokens list -> exp list * tokens list
val parseExpTail = fn : exp list * tokens list -> exp list * tokens list
val parse = fn : string -> exp

```

where `parse` is the function invoked on the input string. E.g.,

```

- parse "(a,a),a,(a,a)";
val it = List [List [A,A],A,List [A,A]] : exp

```

2. (20 points) Table-driven parsing

Early in the semester we discussed the fact that `if-then-else` expressions in C, C++, Java, and Pascal are ambiguous. Suppose we use the following grammar for a very simple language with conditional statements:

```

<stmt> ::= if (bool) <stmt> <possElse> | assn
<possElse> ::= else <stmt> | e

```

where `e` stands for the empty string.

In this grammar, “if”, “bool”, “assn”, and “else” are tokens, and hence terminals of the grammar, while `<stmt>` and `<possElse>` represent non-terminals. (In a more realistic language, “bool” would be a non-terminal that would generate Boolean valued expressions, while “assn” would generate assignment statement. However, it makes the problem easier if we just let them be terminals.

- (a) Show that the string “if (bool) if (bool) assn else assn” has two distinct parse trees.

- (b) Compute the **first** and **follow** sets for the grammar, and build a parse table like that in Lecture 16 (see online notes).
 - (c) Explain how the parse table suggests that the grammar will be problematic to parse using predictive parsing like that explained in class.
 - (d) How could we manually manipulate the parse table so that, if used as the basis for a recursive descent or stack-based top down parser, it always chooses a parse according to the C/C++/Java/Pascal rules (i.e., always associate an “**else**” with the nearest “**if**”)?
3. (10 points) **Static and Dynamic Scope**
Please do problem 7.8 from Mitchell, page 196.
4. (10 points) **Eval and Scope**
Please do problem 7.10 from Mitchell, page 197.
5. (18 points) **Lambda Calculus and Scope**
Please do problem 7.11 from Mitchell, page 198.