

Lecture 7

Proof by Induction

These are the steps we follow when doing proof by induction:

- Declare that we're using induction
- Directly prove whatever base cases are necessary.
- State the assumption that the observation holds for all values from the base case up to but not including the n^{th} case.
- Prove, from simpler cases, that the n^{th} case also holds.
- Claim that, by mathematical induction on n , the observation is true for all cases more complex than the base case.

The classic example, with formal proof:

$$\text{Prove: } \sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Proof (induction):

base case:

show that $\sum_{i=0}^0 i = 0$, trivial by definition of summation.

inductive case: if $\sum_{i=0}^k i = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ for all $k = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ then $\sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

By the inductive hypothesis, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n i &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i + n \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{2n}{2} \\ &= \frac{n^2 + n}{2} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

By induction on n , we have shown that $\sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ for $n \geq 0$.

Recursive Selection Sort

Recursive Selection Sort, basic algorithm:

- find largest item in whole list
- move largest item to last index
- sort list, not including last index

Use induction on size of list to prove correctness:

Base case:

recursive selection sort works if $lastIndex \leq 0$

if $lastIndex == 0$, then list size is 1, and sorted by definition

Inductive case:

assume the `recSelSort` works on lists of size $n-1$.

On list of size n , we:

- Find largest item in whole list
- move largest item to `lastIndex`
Now, all items in indices $0..lastIndex - 1$ are smaller than or equal to the item in `lastIndex`
- sort items in list $[0..lastIndex - 1]$ (we know this works by our assumption)
Now, we have $[0..lastIndex - 1]$ sorted, and item in `lastIndex` that is greater than or equal to all values in $[0..lastIndex - 1]$. Thus, we have sorted list.

Complexity:

We need to think to determine a good guess for the complexity.

Let's reason,

for list of size 1, we do 0 comparisons in `indexOfLargest`

for list of size 2, we do 0 + 1 comparison in `indexOfLargest`

for list of size 3, we do 0 + 1 + 2 comparisons in `indexOfLargest`

...

for list of size n , we do 0 + 1 + 2 + ... + $n - 1$ comparisons in `indexOfLargest`

We note that there is only a constant amount of work done outside `indexOfLargest` for each call to `recSelSort`

Our hypothesis: For list of size n , we do $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ comparisons.

Let's prove our hypothesis.

Proof(induction on size of list n)

base case: list of size 1 requires $\frac{1(0)}{2} = 0$ comparisons. Code does 0 comparisons. Base case holds.

Inductive step:

Assume for list of size $n - 1$, do $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ comparisons, show that for list of size n , we do $\frac{(n)(n-1)}{2}$ comparisons.

This is almost identical to our first example. Won't repeat the work here.

fastPower Example

Another example. We can calculate a base raised to an exponent in the obvious way. For example, 2^4 can be written as $2 * 2 * 2 * 2$. This works great for small exponents, but when the exponent becomes bigger, it can be a lot of work.

fastPower algorithm gives a better way.

- if exponent is 0, return 1 (base case)
- When the exponent is even, return $x^n = (x^2)^{n/2}$. (Now, the exponent is cut in half)
- When the exponent is odd, return $x^n = x * x^{n-1}$. (the exponent decreases by one)
-

This seems reasonable, but can we *prove* that it really works?

Proof by induction on size of the exponent exp .

base case: exponent = 0, fastPower(base, 0) returns 1. $base^0 = 1$. holds.

inductive case: assume fastPower(base, exp) = $base^{exp}$ for all $exp < n$.

Show fastPower(base, n) = $base^n$

two cases: case 1, n is odd:

$$\begin{aligned} fastPower(base, n) &= base * fastPower(base, n - 1) \\ &= base * base^{n-1} \text{ by inductive hypothesis (ie, our assumption)} \\ &= base^n \end{aligned}$$

case 2: n is even:

$$\begin{aligned} fastPower(base, n) &= fastPower(base * base, n/2) \\ &= (base^2)^{n/2} \text{ by inductive hypothesis (ie, our assumption)} \\ &= base^n \end{aligned}$$