Lecture 9: Secure Channels

CS 181S

Fall 2020















- Threat: attacker who controls the network
 - Dolev-Yao model: attacker can read, modify, delete messages
- Vulnerability: communication channel between sender and receiver can be controlled by other principals
- Harm: conversation can be learned (violating confidentiality) or changed (violating integrity) by attacker
- Countermeasure: all the crypto...









Requirements:

- 1) Channel must provide both confidentiality and integrity
- 2) A and B must agree on session key(s)
- 3) A and B must agree on cipher suite (crypto protocols, encryption mode, key lengths)
- 4) Must detecting missing messages & repeated messages
- 5) Must maintain connection (and be able to end it)

Authenticated encryption

- Traditionally: MAC-then-encrypt
- Now: block cipher modes designed to provide confidentiality and integrity (e.g., GCM)



Requirements:

- 1) Channel must provide both confidentiality and integrity
- 2) A and B must agree on session key(s)
- 3) A and B must agree on cipher suite (crypto protocols, encryption mode, key lengths)
- 4) Must detecting missing messages & repeated messages
- 5) Must maintain connection (and be able to end it)

Agreeing on a session key

Hybrid Encryption (RSA)



Diffie-Hellman

A -> B: g, p, g^a mod p
B -> A: g^b mod p
B: k_s := (g^a)^b mod p
A: k_s := (g^b)^a mod p

• DH, ECDH

Exercise 1: DH Key Agreement

- Assume that Alice chooses a=13 and sends Bob the message (5, 47, 43)
- Assume that Bob then chooses b=21 and sends Alice the message 15
- 1. What secret key will be generated by Bob?
- 2. What secret key will be generated by Alice?

Exercise 1: DH Key Agreement

- Assume that Alice chooses a=13 and sends Bob the message (5, 47, 43)
- Assume that Bob then chooses b=21 and sends Alice the message 15
- 1. What secret key will be generated by Bob? $43^{21} \mod 47 = 44$
- 2. What secret key will be generated by Alice? $15^{13} \mod 47 = 44$

Elliptic Curves



Key reuse

- Principle: every key in system should have unique purpose
- generate a fresh session key for every connection (ephemeral)
- Have one key: k_s, Need 2-4 keys:
- How to get many out of one: use a cryptographic hash function H to derive keys...
 - 1. kea = H(k, "Enc Alice to Bob")
 - 2. keb = H(k, "Enc Bob to Alice")
 - 3. kma = H(k, "MAC Alice to Bob")
 - 4. kmb = H(k, "MAC Bob to Alice")



Requirements:

- 1) Channel must provide both confidentiality and integrity
- 2) A and B must agree on session key(s)
- 3) A and B must agree on cipher suite (crypto protocols, encryption mode, key lengths)
- 4) Must detecting missing messages & repeated messages
- 5) Must maintain connection (and be able to end it)

Secure Socket Layer (SSL)

- SSL 2.0 (1995): designed by Netscape, contains a number of security flaws, prohibited since 2011
- SSL 3.0 (1996): complete re-design, all accepted cipher suites now have known vulnerabilities, prohibited since 2015
- TLS 1.0 (1999): contains known vulnerabilities, suggested migration by June 2018
- TLS 1.1 (2006): update with significant changes in how IVs/padding are handled to prevent known attacks
- TLS 1.2 (2008): update with modern cipher suites
- TLS 1.3 (2018): drops insecure features and introduces additional cipher suites

SSL/TLS Handshake



Exercise 2: TLS Handshake

 What messages would be exchanged in the initial threeway handshake if the principals elected to use DH instead of hybrid encryption to agree on a message?

TLS1.3, [,DHE,], rc			
DHE, rs			
rc, rs, p, g, g^B, sign(rc, rs, p, g, g^B;skB)	Version, cipher suites, rClient	ClientHello	Version, cipher
g^A		ServerHello ServerKevExchange	certificate (optional)
	Enc_pks(ms_p) Compute master secret	ChangeCipherSpec	Compute master secret
		ChangeCipherSpec	

U

Supported Cipher Suites

Algorithm	SSL 2.0	SSL 3.0	TLS 1.0	TLS 1.1	TLS 1.2	TLS 1.3
RSA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
DH-RSA	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
DHE-RSA (forward secrecy)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ECDH-RSA	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
ECDHE-RSA (forward secrecy)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
DH-DSS	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
DHE-DSS (forward secrecy)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No ^[42]
ECDH-ECDSA	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
ECDHE-ECDSA (forward secrecy)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Cipher				Protocol version					
Туре	Algorithm	Nominal strength (bits)	SSL 2.0	SSL 3.0 [n 1][n 2][n 3][n 4]	TLS 1.0 [n 1][n 3]	TLS 1.1 [n 1]	TLS 1.2 [n 1]	TLS 1.3	
	AES GCM ^{[44][n 5]}		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Secure	Secure	
	AES CCM ^{[45][n 5]}	256 128	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Secure	Secure	
	AES CBC ^[n 6]	200, 120	N/A	N/A	Depends on mitigations	Depends on mitigations	Depends on mitigations	N/A	
	Camellia GCM ^{[46][n 5]}		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Secure	N/A	
	Camellia CBC ^{[47][n 6]}	256, 128	N/A	N/A	Depends on mitigations	Depends on mitigations	Depends on mitigations	N/A	
Block	ARIA GCM ^{[48][n 5]}		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Secure	N/A	
cipher with	ARIA CBC ^{[48][n 6]}	256, 128	N/A	N/A	Depends on mitigations	Depends on mitigations	Depends on mitigations	N/A	
mode of operation	SEED CBC ^{[49][n 6]}	128	N/A	N/A	Depends on mitigations	Depends on mitigations	Depends on mitigations	N/A	
	3DES EDE CBC ^{[n 6][n 7]}	112 ^[n 8]	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	N/A	
	GOST 28147-89 CNT ^{[43][n 7]}	256	N/A	N/A	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	N/A	
	IDEA CBC ^{[n 6][n 7][n 9]}	128	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	N/A	N/A	
	DES CBC ^{[n 6][n 7][n 9]}	56	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	N/A	N/A	
		40 ^[n 10]	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	RC2 CBC ^{[n 6][n 7]}	40 ^[n 10]	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chroger	ChaCha20-Poly1305 ^{[54][n 5]}	256	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Secure	Secure	
cipher		128	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	N/A	
	RC4 ^[n 11]	40 ^[n 10]	Insecure	Insecure	Insecure	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Attacks on Cipher Negotiation





Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (POODLE)

Client C

cr, [..., DHE, ...]

sr, DHE

Return of Beichenbacher's Oracle Threat (ROBOT)

Logjam

MitM

cr, [DHE_EXPORT]

sr, DHE_EXPORT

Server S



Requirements:

- 1) Channel must provide both confidentiality and integrity
- 2) A and B must agree on session key(s)
- 3) A and B must agree on cipher suite (crypto protocols, encryption mode, key lengths)
- 4) Must detecting missing messages & repeated messages
- 5) Must maintain connection (and be able to end it)

Message numbers

- Every message that Alice sends is numbered
 - 1, 2, 3, ...
 - numbers increase monotonically
 - never reuse a number
- Bob keeps state to remember last message number he received
- Bob accepts only increasing message numbers
- And ditto all the above, for Bob sending to Alice
 - so each principal keeps two independent counters: messages sent, messages received

Message numbers

What if Bob detects a gap? e.g. 1, 2, 5

- Maybe Mallory deleted messages 3 and 4 from network
- Maybe Mallory detectably changed 3 and 4, causing Bob to discard them
- In either case, channel is under active attack
 - Absent availability goals, time to PANIC: abort protocol, produce appropriate information for later auditing, shut down channel

What if network non-maliciously dropped messages or will deliver them later?

 Let's assume underlying transport protocol guarantees that won't happen (e.g. TCP)

Message numbers

- Message number usually implemented as a fixed-size unsigned integer, e.g., 32 or 48 or 64 bits
- What if that int overflows and wraps back around to 0?
 - Message number **must** be unique within conversation to prevent Mallory from replaying old conversation
 - So conversation must stop at that point
 - Can start a new conversation with a new session key



Requirements:

- 1) Channel must provide both confidentiality and integrity
- 2) A and B must agree on session key(s)
- 3) A and B must agree on cipher suite (crypto protocols, encryption mode, key lengths)
- 4) Must detecting missing messages & repeated messages
- 5) Must maintain connection (and be able to end it)

TLS record

+	Byte +0	Byte +1	Byte +2	Byte +3		
Byte 0	Content type					
Bytes	Ver	sion	Length			
14	(Major)	(Minor)	(bits 158)	(bits 70)		
Bytes 5(<i>m</i> –1)	Protocol message(s)					
Bytes <i>m</i> (<i>p</i> –1)	MAC (optional)					
Bytes <i>p</i> (<i>q</i> –1)	Padding (block ciphers only)					

Hex	Dec	Туре
0x14	20	ChangeCipherSpec
0x15	21	Alert
0x16	22	Handshake
0x17	23	Application
0x18	24	Heartbeat

Heartbleed



Heartbeat

HOW THE HEARTBLEED BUG WORKS:



Truncation Attack



Exercise 3: Feedback

- 1. Rate how well you think this recorded lecture worked
 - 1. Better than an in-person class
 - 2. About as well as an in-person class
 - 3. Less well than an in-person class, but you still learned something
 - 4. Total waste of time, you didn't learn anything
- 2. How much time did you spend on this video lecture (including time spent on exercises)?
- 3. Do you have particular questions you would like me to address in this week's problem session?
- 4. Do you have any other comments or feedback?