Lecture 20: Synchronization

CS 105

April 8, 2019

Last week: Processes and Threads

- A *process* is an instance of a running program.
 - logical control flow + isolated address space
- A *thread* is a sequential stream of execution
 - logical control flow + better performance

⇒ Concurrent Programs

Why Concurrent Programs?



Program Structure: expressing logically concurrent programs





Responsiveness: shifting work to run in the background



Performance: managing I/O devices

Why not Concurrent Programs?

{

}

```
/* Global shared variable */
volatile long cnt = 0; /* Counter */
```

```
int main(int argc, char **argv)
```

```
long niters;
pthread_t tid1, tid2;
```

{

```
niters = atoi(argv[1]);
Pthread_create(&tid1, NULL,
        thread, &niters);
Pthread_create(&tid2, NULL,
        thread, &niters);
Pthread_join(tid1, NULL);
Pthread_join(tid2, NULL);
```

```
/* Check result */
if (cnt != (2 * niters))
    printf("BOOM! cnt=%ld\n", cnt);
else
    printf("OK cnt=%ld\n", cnt);
exit(0);
```

```
badcnt.c
```

```
/* Thread routine */
void *thread(void *vargp)
```

```
long i, niters =
    *((long *)vargp);
```

```
for (i = 0; i < niters; i++)
    cnt++;</pre>
```

return NULL;

linux> ./badcnt 10000
OK cnt=20000
linux> ./badcnt 10000
BOOM! cnt=13051
linux>

Race condition!

Race conditions

- A race condition is a timing-dependent error involving shared state
 - whether the error occurs depends on thread schedule
- program execution/schedule can be non-deterministic
- compilers and processors can re-order instructions

A concrete example...

- You and your roommate share a refrigerator. Being good roommates, you both try to make sure that the refrigerator is always stocked with milk.
- Liveness: if you are out of milk, someone buys milk
- Safety: you never have more than one quart of milk



Algorithm 1:

Look in fridge. If out of milk: go to store, buy milk, go home put milk in fridge

A problematic schedule

You

- 3:00 Look in fridge; out of milk
- 3:05 Leave for store
- 3:10 Arrive at store
- 3:15 Buy milk
- 3:20 Arrive home; put milk in fridge

Your Roommate

- 3:10 Look in fridge; out of milk
- 3:15 Leave for store
- 3:20 Arrive at store
- 3:25 Buy milk
- 3:30 Arrive home; put milk in fridge

Safety violation: You have too much milk and it spoils

Solution 1: Leave a note

 You and your roommate share a refrigerator. Being good roommates, you both try to make sure that the refrigerator is always stocked with milk.



Safety violation: you've introduced a Heisenbug!

Solution 2: Leave note before check note

 You and your roommate share a refrigerator. Being good roommates, you both try to make sure that the refrigerator is always stocked with milk.



```
if (note2 == 0) { // no note from
                        roommate
 if (milk == 0) \{ // no milk \}
   milk++; // buy milk
```

Liveness violation: No one buys milk

Solution 3: Keep checking for note

 You and your roommate share a refrigerator. Being good roommates, you both try to make sure that the refrigerator is always stocked with milk.



Liveness violation: you've introduced deadlock!

Solution 4: Take turns

 You and your roommate share a refrigerator. Being good roommates, you both try to make sure that the refrigerator is always stocked with milk.



(probably) correct, but complicated and inefficient

Rewind...

• What problem are we actually trying to solve?



Algorithm 1:

 We want to limit the possible schedules so that checking for milk and buying milk act as a single atomic operation

Locks

- A lock (aka a mutex) is a synchronization that provides mutual exclusion. When one thread holds a lock, no other thread can hold it.
 - a lock can be in one of two states: locked or unlocked
 - a lock is initially unlocked
 - function acquire() waits until the lock is unlocked, then atomically sets it to locked
 - function release() sets the lock to unlocked

Atomic Operations

- Solution: hardware primitives to support synchronization
- A machine instruction that (atomically!) reads and updates a memory location
- Example: xchg *src, dest*
 - one instruction
 - semantics: TEMP ← DEST; DEST ← SRC; SRC ← TEMP;

Spinlocks

acquire:

- mov \$1, eax
 xchg eax, (rsi)
 test eax, eax
 jnz acquire
 ret
 - ; Set EAX to 1
 - ; Atomically swap EAX w/ lock val
 - ; check if EAX is 0 (lock unlocked)
 - ; if was locked, loop
 - ; lock has been acquired, return

release:

```
xor eax, eax
xchg eax, (rsi)
ret
```

- ; Set EAX to O
 - ; Atomically swap EAX w/ lock val
 - ; lock has been released, return

Solution 5: use a lock

 You and your roommate share a refrigerator. Being good roommates, you both try to make sure that the refrigerator is always stocked with milk.



Correct!

Exercise: Dining Philosophers



eat_thread(i){
 while(True){
 think();

pickup_fork(i);
pickup_fork(i+1%n);

eat();

}

}

putdown_fork(i);
putdown_fork(i+1%n);

Locks in C (pthreads)

- Defines lock type pthread_mutex_t
- Defines functions to create/destroy locks:
 - int pthread_mutex_init(pthread_mutex_t *restrict lock, const pthread_mutexattr_t *restrict attr);
 - int pthread_mutex_destroy(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
- Defines functions to acquire/release a lock:
 - int pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t *lock);
 - int pthread_mutex_trylock(pthread_mutex_t *lock);
 - int pthread_mutex_unlock(pthread_mutex_t *lock);

Exercise

```
/* Global shared variable */
volatile long cnt = 0; /* Counter */
```

```
int main(int argc, char **argv)
```

```
long niters;
pthread_t tid1, tid2;
```

```
niters = atoi(argv[1]);
Pthread_create(&tid1, NULL,
        thread, &niters);
Pthread_create(&tid2, NULL,
        thread, &niters);
Pthread_join(tid1, NULL);
Pthread_join(tid2, NULL);
```

```
/* Check result */
if (cnt != (2 * niters))
    printf("BOOM! cnt=%ld\n", cnt);
else
    printf("OK cnt=%ld\n", cnt);
exit(0);
```

 TODO: Modify this example to guarantee correctness

}

{

Performance problems

- threads that fail to acquire a lock on the first attempt must "spin", which wastes CPU cycles
 - replace no-op with yield()
- threads get scheduled and de-scheduled while the lock is still locked
 - need a better synchronization primitive

Better Synchronization Primitives

- Semaphores
 - stateful synchronization primitive
- Condition variables
 - event-based synchronization primitive

Semaphores

- A semaphore s is a stateful synchronization primitive comprised of:
 - a value (non-negative integer)
 - a lock
 - a queue
- Interface:
 - init(sem_t *s, 0, unsigned int val)
 - P(sem_t * s): If s is nonzero, the P decrements s and returns immediately. If s is zero, then adds the thread to queue(s); after restarting, the P operation decrements s and returns.
 - V(sem_t * s): Increments s by 1. If there are any threads in queue(s), then V restarts exactly one of these threads, which then completes the P operation.

Semantics of P and V

- P():
- block (sit on Q) til value >0
- when so, decrement VALUE by 1

V():

- increment VALUE by 1
- resume a thread waiting on Q (if any)

Binary Semaphore (aka mutex)

- A binary semaphore is a semaphore initialized with value 1.
 - the value is always 0 or 1
- Used for mutual exclusion---it's a more efficient lock!



Counting Semaphores

- Can also initialize semaphores with values greater than 1
- Can use these counting semaphores to do more complicated synchronization!
- ... more on Wednesday