# Language acquisition

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RE4ce4mexrU



#### Admin Admin Assignment 2 out Assignment submission: submit on-time! bigram language modeling 🗖 Java Our first quiz (when?) Can work with partners □ In-class (~30 min.) Anyone looking for a partner? Topics 2a: Due Thursday corpus analysis 2b: Due Tuesday regular expressions Style/commenting (JavaDoc) probability Some advice Ianguage modeling Start now! Open book/notes Spend 1-2 hours working out an example by hand (you can check your answers with me) HashMap we'll try it out for this one better to assume closed book (30 minutes goes by fast!) 7.5% of your grade

## Admin

## Lab next class

Meet in Edmunds 105, 2:45-4pm



# Today

## Take home ideas:

- Key idea of smoothing is to redistribute the probability to handle less seen (or never seen) events
- Still must always maintain a true probability distributionLots of ways of smoothing data
- Should take into account features in your data!





The genero	al smoc	othing	proble	em
			nolificati	or orobobility
			odific	100°.
see the abacus	1	1/3	?	×
see the abbot	0	0/3	?	: ?
see the abduct	0	0/3	?	?
see the above	2	2/3	?	?
see the Abram	0	0/3	?	?
			?	?
see the zygote	0	0/3	?	?
Total	3	3/3	?	?

Г

Add-lambda	smoothing
------------	-----------

A large dictionary makes novel events too probable.

counts			
1	1/3	1.01	1.01/203
0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
2	2/3	2.01	2.01/203
0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
		0.01	0.01/203
0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
3	3/3	203	
	1 0 2 0 0	1 1/3 0 0/3 0 0/3 2 2/3 0 0/3 0 0/3	1         1/3         1.01           0         0/3         0.01           0         0/3         0.01           2         2/3         2.01           0         0/3         0.01           0         0/3         0.01           0         0/3         0.01           0         0/3         0.01

Add-lambo	da smo	othinç	9	
How should we pi	ick lambdo	Şt		
see the abacus	1	1/3	1.01	1.01/203
see the abbot	0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
see the abduct	0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
see the above	2	2/3	2.01	2.01/203
see the Abram	0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
			0.01	0.01/203
see the zygote	0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
Total	3	3/3	203	



# Vocabulary

n-gram language modeling assumes we have a fixed vocabulary
why?

Whether implicit or explicit, an n-gram language model is defined over a finite, fixed vocabulary

What happens when we encounter a word not in our vocabulary (Out Of Vocabulary)?

If we don't do anything, prob = 0
Smoothing doesn't really help us with this!







## Vocabulary

No matter your chosen vocabulary, you're still going to have out of vocabulary (OOV)

### How can we deal with this?

Ignore words we've never seen before

- Somewhat unsatisfying, though can work depending on the application
- Probability is then dependent on how many in vocabulary words are seen in a sentence/text

Use a special symbol for OOV words and estimate the probability of out of vocabulary

## Out of vocabulary

Add an extra word in your vocabulary to denote OOV (<OOV>, <UNK>)

Replace all words in your training corpus not in the vocabulary with  ${\rm <UNK}{\rm >}$ 

- You'll get bigrams, trigrams, etc with <UNK>
  - p(<UNK> | "I am")
  - p(fast | "I <UNK>")

During testing, similarly replace all OOV with <UNK>

## Choosing a vocabulary

A common approach (and the one we'll use for the assignment):

- $\blacksquare$  Replace the first occurrence of each word by <UNK> in a data set
- Estimate probabilities normally

Vocabulary then is all words that occurred two or more times

This also discounts all word counts by 1 and gives that probability mass to  $<\!UNK\!>$ 

## Storing the table

How are we storing this table? Should we store all entries?

see the abacus	1	1/3	1.01	1.01/203
see the abbot	0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
see the abduct	0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
see the above	2	2/3	2.01	2.01/203
see the Abram	0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
			0.01	0.01/203
see the zygote	0	0/3	0.01	0.01/203
Total	3	3/3	203	

## Storing the table

## Hashtable (e.g. HashMap)

- fast retrievalfairly good memory usage

Only store those entries of things we've seen a for example, we don't store  $|V|^3$  trigrams

For trigrams we can:

- Store one hashtable with bigrams as keys
- Store a hashtable of hashtables (I'm recommending this)







Problems with frequency based smoothing

The following bigrams have never been seen:

p(X | San) p(X | ate)

Which would add-lambda pick as most likely?

Which would you pick?

# Witten-Bell Discounting

Some words are more likely to be followed by new words

Diego Francisco San Luis Jose Marcos food apples bananas ate hamburgers a lot for two grapes ...

## Witten-Bell Discounting

Probability mass is shifted around, depending on the context of words

If  $P(w_i \mid w_{i-1},...,w_{i-m}) = 0$ , then the smoothed probability  $P_{WB}(w_i \mid w_{i-1},...,w_{i-m})$  is higher if the sequence  $w_{i-1},...,w_{i-m}$  occurs with many different words  $w_k$ 

## Problems with frequency based smoothing

The following trigrams have never been seen:

p( zygote | see the )

p( cumquat | see the )

Which would add-lambda pick as most likely? Witten-Bell?

Which would you pick?

p( car | see the )

## Better smoothing approaches

Utilize information in lower-order models

Interpolation

Combine probabilities of lower-order models in some linear combination

Backoff

$$P(z \mid xy) = \begin{cases} \frac{C^*(xyz)}{C(xy)} & \text{if } C(xyz) > k\\ \alpha(xy)P(z \mid y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### Often k = 0 (or 1)

Combine the probabilities by "backing off" to lower models only when we don't have enough information

## Smoothing: Simple Interpolation

$$P(z \mid xy) \approx \lambda \frac{C(xyz)}{C(xy)} + \mu \frac{C(yz)}{C(y)} + (1 - \lambda - \mu) \frac{C(z)}{C(\bullet)}$$

Trigram is very context specific, very noisy

Unigram is context-independent, smooth

Interpolate Trigram, Bigram, Unigram for best combination

How should we determine  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ ?



□ "Powell search" – see Numerical Recipes in C



```
\begin{split} P_{absolute}(z \mid xy) &= \\ \begin{cases} \frac{C(xyz) - D}{C(xy)} & if \ C(xyz) > 0 \\ \alpha(xy) P_{absolute}(z \mid y) & otherwise \end{cases} \end{split}
```

Subtract some absolute number from each of the counts (e.g. 0.75)

How will this affect rare words?How will this affect common words?

Backoff models: absolute discounting
$\begin{split} P_{absolute}(z \mid xy) &= \\ \begin{cases} \frac{C(xyz) - D}{C(xy)} & \text{if } C(xyz) > 0\\ \alpha(xy) P_{absolute}(z \mid y) & otherwise \end{cases} \end{split}$
Subtract some absolute number from each of the counts (e.g. 0.75)

will have a large effect on low counts (rare words)will have a small effect on large counts (common words)







Backoff mo	odels	absolute discounti	ng
see the dog	1	p( cat   see the ) = ?	
see the cat	2	$p(\operatorname{cur})$ see me $j = \frac{1}{2}$	
see the banana	4		
see the man	1	2-D $2-0.75$ 125	
see the woman	1	$\frac{2-D}{10} = \frac{2-0.75}{10} = .125$	
see the car	1		
		$P_{absolute}(z \mid xy) =$	
		$\begin{cases} \frac{C(xyz) - D}{C(xy)} \\ \alpha(xy) P_{absolute}(z \mid y) \end{cases}$	if C(xy
		$\alpha(xy)P_{absolute}(z \mid y)$	otherw







# Calculating $\alpha$

We have some number of bigrams we're going to backoff to, i.e. those X where C(see the X) = 0, that is unseen trigrams starting with "see the"

When we backoff, for each of these, we'll be including their probability in the model: P(X  $\mid$  the)

 ${\boldsymbol \alpha}$  is the normalizing constant so that the sum of these probabilities equals the reserved probability mass

 $\alpha$ (see the)\*  $\sum_{X:C(\text{see the } X)=0} p(X|\text{ the}) = reserved \_mass(\text{see the})$ 







## Calculating backoff models in practice

#### Store the $\alpha$ s in another table

- If it's a trigram backed off to a bigram, it's a table keyed by the bigrams
- If it's a bigram backed off to a unigram, it's a table keyed by the unigrams

#### Compute the $\alpha$ s during training

After calculating all of the probabilities of seen unigrams/bigrams/trigrams
 Go back through and calculate the \alpha s (you should have all of the information you need)

During testing, it should then be easy to apply the backoff model with the  $\alpha$  s pre-calculated



