CS062 DATA STRUCTURES AND ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

11: Stacks and Queues



Alexandra Papoutsaki she/her/hers

Today, we will talk about stacks and queues, the last of the basic data structures.



Let's start with stacks. You already have some experience with them through the Calculator assignment.



Stacks are dynamic linear data structures that follow the LIFO paradigm: The element that was last in is the first one to go out. Similar to lists, there is a sequential nature to the data. You can think of stacks as a metaphor for the cafeteria plate dispenser. If you want a plate you pop (that is remove) the top plate. If you want to add a plate, you push it to the top and it becomes the new top of the stack. If you just want to see the top plate, you peek. It makes sense that we want to make the push and pop operations as efficient as possible.



Here is an example of how we visualize the stack for a series of push and pop operations.



Implementing stacks with ArrayLists

- Where should the top go to make push and pop as efficient as possible?
- The end/rear represents the top of the stack.
- To push an element add(E element).
 - Adds at the end. Amortized $O^+(1)$.
- To pop an element remove().
 - * Removes and returns the element from the end. Amortized $O^+(1)$.
- To peek get(size()-1).
 - Retrieves the last element. O(1).
- * If the front/beginning were to represent the top of the stack, then:
 - Push, pop would be O(n) and peek O(1).

What are our choices when it comes to implementing stacks? One option is that we could use arrayLists. If our goal is to make push and pop as efficient as possible, where should the top be? We will use the end/read to represent the stack. That means that pushing an element will be done by calling add and that will have amortized O+(1) running time. To pop an element, we will use remove which will remove and return the element from the end which is again amortized O+(1). To peek, we will use get at the last index which will be done in constant time. If we were to use the front as the top of the stack, then both push and pop would be O(n) and peek O(1). Bad idea.



What if we want to implement stacks using singly linked lists? The top of the stack will be the head node. To push, we will call the add method which adds at the head and takes constant time. To pop an element we will all remove which will remove the head again in constant time. Get is also constant. If we were to choose the last node as the top of the stack, then push, pop, and peek would all be linear.



How about doubly linked lists? We could choose the head to represent the top of the stack. Then pushing would call addFirst in constant time. popping would remove the head by calling removeFirst in O(1). To peek we would get the 0-th index in O(1). We could also do the same with using the tail as the top of the stack again in constant time. How do linked lists compare to array lists? Guaranteed constant performance for push/pop/peek if implemented as we have discussed, but unnecessary memory overhead with extra pointers.

CI		CL	(C
21	A	U r	\J

Implementation of stacks

 Stack.java: simple interface with push, pop, peek, isEmpty, and size methods.

8

- ArrayListStack.java: for implementation of stacks with ArrayLists. Must implement methods of Stack interface.
- LinkedStack.java: for implementation of stacks with singly linked lists. Must implement methods of Stack interface.

I highly encourage you to look into the following three files linked at the end of the presentation. Stack is an interface with five abstract methods, push, pop, peek, isEmpty, and size. ArrayListStack and LinkedStack both implement this interface and provide alternative implementations of stacks using array lists or linked lists respectively.



Whenever you hear about stacks, chances are you will also hear about queues, a mirrored concept.



A queue is a dynamic linear data structure that follows the FIFO paradigm. The first element to be inserted will be the first one to be removed. It's like a queue/line of people waiting to buy a ticket. When we add an element to the queue, we say we enqueue it. When we remove it, we dequeue it. As always, we want to make these basic operations as time efficient as possible.



This is an example of how enqueuing and dequeuing works. Note that the visualization of queues here is vertical but they are often presented horizontally.



Let's think how we could implement the concept of a queue with an array list. We can enqueue an element by using add which would append it to the end of the array list. This will result in amortized O+(1). Then we would have to dequeue the first element which would be O(n) (since we need to shift all elements to the left). We could dequeue by removing from the end (amortized O+(1) but now enqueueing would be expensive O(n).

au		

Implementing queue with singly linked list

- Where should we enqueue and dequeue elements?
 - To enqueue an element add() at the *head* of SLL (O(1)).
 - To dequeue an element remove(size()-1) (O(n)).
- * What if we add at the end and remove from beginning?
 - Now dequeue is cheap (O(1)) but enqueue becomes expensive (O(n)).
- O(1) for both if we have a tail pointer.
 - enqueue at the tail, dequeue from the head.
 - Simple modification in code, big gains!
 - Version that recommended textbook follows.

What about using singly linked lists? We can enqueue by adding the element to the head which can be done in constant time. To dequeue, we would have to resize the last element which is done in linear time. What if we flip them by enqueuing at the end and dequeuing from the beginning? Now dequeue is cheap (constant) but enqueue becomes expensive (linear). Here is a neat idea. If we add a tail pointer, we can enqueue at the tail and dequeue from the head (Why not the other way around?). This is a tiny modification in our code but it has huge gains!



Let's now think about doubly linked lists. We could enqueue at the tail and dequeue at the head in constant time. Or flip them and again we would do it in constant time. Why might we not prefer this option? Firstly, dlls require a lot of extra pointers! Also in practice "jumping" around the memory can increase significantly the running time.

		-		-
		-		н.
C.	v		\mathbf{U}	

Implementation of queues

 Queue.java: simple interface with enqueue, dequeue, peek, isEmpty, and size methods.

15

- ArrayListQueue.java: for implementation of queues with ArrayLists. Must implement methods of Queue interface.
- LinkedQueue.java: for implementation of queues with doubly linked lists. Must implement methods of Queue interface.

Please make sure you review the following three files linked at the end of the presentation. Queue is an interface with five abstract methods, enqueue, dequeue, peek, isEmpty, and size. ArrayListQueue and LinkedQueue both implement this interface and provide alternative implementations of queues using array lists or linked lists respectively.



Let's talk a bit about the applications of stacks and queues.



Stacks appear a lot in programming languages and compilers. Think for example the call stack of methods. The back button in your favorite browser is essentially a stack that allows you to pop the latest website you have visited. Similarly, the undo button in Google Docs and Microsoft Word is a stack. And of course, as you know now by the Calculator assignment, you can use a stack to build a postfix expression evaluator.



This is an example of how a postfix expression would be evaluated using a stack.



Queues are very common in applications that have to do with streaming, e.g., Spotify, netflix, hulu etc. Printers also are based off queues. Any traffic analysis, airport control, waiting times at a calling center, are all off based queues.



Let's now see what built-in classes Java Collections offer for stacks and queues.



As a reminder, here is an overview of the Java collections framework.

JAVA COLLECTIONS	22
Deque in Java Collections	
Do not use Stack. Deprecated class.	
Queue is an interface	
It's recommended to use the Deque interface instead.	
Double-ended queue (can add and remove from either end).	
java.util.Deque;	
 public interface Deque<e> extends Queue<e></e></e> You can choose between LinkedList and ArrayDeque implementations. 	
<pre>Deque deque = new ArrayDeque(); //preferable</pre>	
https://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/api/java/util/Deque.html	

Things are a bit muddy. It used to be the case we would use the class Stack but it is no longer recommended. Queue on the other hand is an interface. Instead, we are recommended to use the Deque (deck) interface, which represents a double-ended queue and can be used to either make a stack or a queue. You can choose between LinkedList and ArrayDeque implementations. Experiments have shown that ArrayDeque is actually faster in practice despite the theoretical arguments about linked lists' superiority.



And that's all for today.

ASSIGNED READINGS AND PRACTICE PROBLEMS

24

Readings:

Oracle's guides:
Collections: https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/collections/intro/index.html
Deque: https://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/api/java/util/Deque.html
ArrayList: https://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/api/java/util/ArrayList.html
Recommended Textbook:

Chapter 1.3 (Page 126-157)

Recommended Textbook Website:

Stacks and Queues: https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu/13stacks/

Code

Lecture 11 code

Practice Problems:

1.3.2-1.3.8, 1.3.32-1.3.33

Make sure you review the code!