

---

csci54 – discrete math & functional programming  
proofs continued

---

## on proof writing

---

- ▶ proof: a convincing argument written for a particular audience
- ▶ guidelines:
  - ▶ unless it's a direct proof without cases, state what proof technique you're using
  - ▶ define variables
  - ▶ have a concluding statement



## proving "for all" statements

---

- ▶ claim: if  $x$  and  $y$  are even integers, then  $x+y$  is an even integer
- ▶ claim: given any two integers  $x$  and  $y$ , if  $x$  and  $y$  are even then  $x+y$  is even.
  
- ▶ observation on proving "for all" statements
  - ▶ "let  $x$  be an element of  $S$ "
  - ▶ since true for any element of  $S$ , must be true for all elements of  $S$



---

Above all, remember that your primary goal in writing is communication. Just as when you are programming, it is possible to write two solutions to a problem that both “work,” but which differ tremendously in readability. Document! Comment your code; explain why this statement follows from previous statements. Make your proofs—and your code!—a pleasure to read.

CDMCS – end of section 4.3



---

---



## direct proof : example (v1)

---

- ▶ claim: If a number is odd, then its binary representation ends with a 1.
- ▶ proof:
  - ▶ Let  $k$  be an arbitrary odd integer.
  - ▶ Then there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $k=2r+1$ .
  - ▶ Now let  $d_n...d_2d_1d_0$  be the binary representation of  $r$ .
  - ▶ The binary representation of  $2r$  is then  $d_n...d_2d_1d_00$ , and
  - ▶ The binary representation of  $k=2r+1= d_n...d_2d_1d_01$ .
- ▶ conclusion: Therefore the binary representation of any odd integer ends with a 1.

## direct proof : example (v2)

---

- ▶ claim: If a number is odd, then its binary representation ends with a 1.
- ▶ proof:
  - ▶ Let  $k$  be an arbitrary odd integer.
  - ▶ Then there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $k=2r+1$ .
  - ▶ Now let  $d_n...d_2d_1d_0$  be the binary representation of  $r$ .
    - ▶ This means  $r = \dots$
    - ▶ So  $2r = \dots$
  - ▶ The binary representation of  $2r$  is therefore  $d_n...d_2d_1d_00$ , and
  - ▶ The binary representation of  $k=2r+1= d_n...d_2d_1d_01$ .
- ▶ conclusion: Therefore the binary representation of any odd integer ends with a 1.

## direct proof : example (v3)

---

- ▶ claim: If a number is odd, then its binary representation ends with a 1.
  - ▶ proof:
    - ▶ Let  $k$  be an arbitrary odd integer.
    - ▶ Then there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $k=2r+1$ .
    - ▶ Now let  $d_n...d_2d_1d_0$  be the binary representation of  $r$ .
      - ▶ This means  $r = \dots$
      - ▶ So  $2r = \dots$   
 $= \dots$
    - ▶ The binary representation of  $2r$  is therefore  $d_n...d_2d_1d_00$ , and
    - ▶ The binary representation of  $k=2r+1= d_n...d_2d_1d_01$ .
  - ▶ conclusion: Therefore the binary representation of any odd
  - ▶ integer ends with a 1.
-



---

---



## if and only if: example

---

- ▶ prove the following claim by proving each direction separately. Use a direct proof in one direction and a proof of the contrapositive in the other.
- ▶ claim: For all integers  $j$  and  $k$ ,  $j$  and  $k$  are odd if and only if their product  $jk$  is odd.
- ▶ proof: Let  $j$  and  $k$  be arbitrary integers.
  - ▶ () If  $j$  and  $k$  are odd, then  $jk$  is odd
  - ▶ () If  $jk$  is odd, then  $j$  and  $k$  are odd
- ▶ Therefore for all integers  $j$  and  $k$ ,  $j$  and  $k$  are odd if and only if  $jk$  is odd.

---

---



## a way that things can go wrong

---

► Claim:  $1=0$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $1 = 0$ . Then:

therefore, multiplying both sides by 0

and therefore,

$$1 = 0$$

$$0 \cdot 1 = 0 \cdot 0$$

$$0 = 0. \quad \checkmark$$

And, indeed,  $0 = 0$ . Thus the assumption that  $1 = 0$  was correct, and the theorem follows. □

► More examples, discussion in Chapter 4.5 of the book

---



- 
- Material about proofs of  $\text{plus } a \ 0 = \text{plus } 0 \ a$ ,  $\text{plus } a \ 1 = \text{plus } 1 \ a$ ,  $\text{plus } a \ b = \text{plus } b \ a$  for haskell:
  - $\text{plus } 0 \ b = b$
  - $\text{plus } a \ b = (\text{plus } (a-1) \ b) + 1$



# proof techniques

---

- ▶ direct proof:
  - ▶ start with known facts. repeatedly infer additional new facts until can conclude what you want to show.
  - ▶ may divide work into cases
- ▶ proof of the contrapositive:
  - ▶ if trying to prove an implication, prove the contrapositive instead
- ▶ proof by contradiction
  - ▶ Claim:  $p$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg p \rightarrow \perp$



# proof techniques

---

- ▶ direct proof:
  - ▶ start with known facts. repeatedly infer additional new facts until can conclude what you want to show.
  - ▶ may divide work into cases
- ▶ proof of the contrapositive:
  - ▶ if trying to prove an implication, prove the contrapositive instead
- ▶ proof by contradiction
  - ▶ if trying to prove a statement, assume the statement is not true and prove something that is clearly false. From this conclude that the original statement must be true.

## proof by contradiction – logic and example

---

- ▶ the proposition  $p$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg p \rightarrow \perp$
- ▶ claim: The statement  $\exists y: \forall x: y > x$  is false.
- ▶ proof by contradiction:
  - ▶ assume the statement is True; we'll show this leads to a contradiction
  - ▶ let  $y^*$  be a  $y$  for which the statement is True.
  - ▶ then  $y^*$  must be larger than all real numbers  $x$ .
  - ▶ however,  $y^*$  is also a real number, so  $y^* > y^*$ .
  - ▶ this is a contradiction so the assumption that the statement is True must be wrong.
  - ▶ therefore the original statement is False.





# Example from csci101

---

**Theorem:** If  $L$  is a context-free language, then:

$$\exists k \geq 1 \ (\forall \text{ strings } w \in L, \text{ where } |w| \geq k \ (\exists u, v, x, y, z \ (w = uvxyz, \\ vy \neq \varepsilon, \\ |vxy| \leq k, \text{ and} \\ \forall q \geq 0 \ (uv^qxy^qz \text{ is in } L))))).$$

- ▶ used to prove that a language  $L$  is **not** context free
  - ▶ proof by contradiction: assume that  $L$  is context free. Then there must be a value  $k$  that satisfies the above theorem.
  - ▶ now show that such a  $k$  cannot exist

