csci54 – discrete math & functional programming pattern matching, guards, where bindings

this week

week03-group

- please select pages for each question
- week03-ps-coding
- reminders
 - assignment regrades
 - missing lectures

Last time - types

specifying the type of a function:

name :: (typeClass var1, typeClass var1, typeClass var2, ...) =>
 var1 -> var2 -> returnVal

What are the types of the following functions?

Discussion:

- use of wildcard character _
- what does (x:y:z:w:l) match to?
- Are both these definitions exhaustive?
- What do the functions do?

Last time – pattern matching

pattern matching:

```
maxList :: [Integer] -> Integer
maxList [] = error "empty list"
maxList [x] = x
maxList (x:xs) = max x (maxList xs)
```

More pattern matching

You can pattern match against multiple lists!

Consider this function:

- What breaks if you don't include (only) the 2nd pattern?
 - *** Exception: Non-exhaustive patterns in function equal'
- What breaks if you don't include (only) the 1st pattern?

will always return False

```
equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
equal' [] [] = True
equal' _ [] = False
equal' [] _ = False
equal' (x:xs) (y:ys) =
    if x == y
    then equal' xs ys
    else False
```

One more practice question

- Consider a function every0ther that takes a list and returns a new list consisting of every other element in the original list starting with the first element. As an example, every0ther [1,5,2,4,-1] should return [1,2,-1]
 - What is the type of every0ther?
 - How would you implement every0ther using pattern matching?

We can also pattern-match within the body of a function:

```
last' xs =
  case xs of
  [] -> error "empty list"
  [x] -> x
  x:xs -> last' xs
```

This can be useful if you need to e.g. make a choice based on the return value of your recursive case

Guards

- pattern-matching lets you specify cases based on values
- guards let you specify cases based on expressions

```
can combine the two
```

Where bindings

Gives you the ability to name intermediate values

```
equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
equal' [] [] = True
equal' [] = False
                              equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
equal' [] = False
                              equal' [] [] = True
equal' (x:xs) (y:ys)
                              equal' [] = False
     | x == y = equal' xs ys
                              equal' [] = False
     otherwise = False
                              equal' (x:xs) (y:ys)
                                   | x == y = rest
                                   otherwise = False
 Scope: where bindings are
                                 where rest = equal' xs ys
```

visible to entire function

Let bindings

Similar to where

- scope is more localized
 - does not bind across guards
- are expressions themselves
 - syntax is "let <bindings> in <expression>

ghci> 4 * (let
$$a = 9$$
 in $a + 1$) + 2

What does the following function do?

```
importing a module in Haskell
import Data.Char
                      this one gives us functions including toLower
mystery x y
    aL > 'm' && bL > 'm' = "group 4"
  | aL > 'm' && bL <= 'm' = "group 3"
  | aL <= 'm' && bL > 'm' = "group 2"
   otherwise = "group 1"
  where (a:) = x
        (b:) = y
        aL = toLower a
        bL = toLower b
```

Code is a little repetitive---how could it be simplified?

• Bonus section if we're doing OK on time

Fallible Functions

- We see in functions like maxInt that some cases crash the program
- These "partial functions" can be tricky to work with
- What could we do in Python or Java to take the "maximum" of an empty list?

Maybe type

Let's introduce a new type:

```
data Maybe a =
Nothing
| Just a
deriving (Show, Eq)
```

- Maybe (aka Option) is common nowadays in C++, Java, TypeScript, Rust, & others
- We encode "either something or null" into the type, rather than as a language feature like undefined or None
- Then we can just write regular functions on it:

```
orElse :: Maybe a -> a -> a
orElse (Just a) _ = a
orElse Nothing b = b
```

data Maybe a =
 Nothing
 Just a
 deriving (Show, Eq)

- What's the issue with the code below?
- maxInt :: [Integer] -> Maybe Integer
- maxInt [] = Nothing
- maxInt [x] = Just x
- maxInt (x:xs) = max x (maxInt xs)

data Maybe a =
 Nothing
 Just a
 deriving (Show, Eq)

- Will this do the trick?
- maxInt :: [Integer] -> Maybe Integer
- maxInt [] = Nothing
- maxInt [x] = Just x
- maxInt (x:xs) = max x (maxInt xs)

← a MaybeInteger, notan Integer!

maxInt (x:xs) = max x ((maxInt xs) `orElse` x)

data Maybe a =
 Nothing
 Just a
 deriving (Show, Eq)

- maxInt :: [Integer] -> Maybe Integer
- maxInt [] = Nothing
- maxInt [x] = Just x
- maxInt (x:xs) = max x ((maxInt xs) `orElse` x))
 ^^^ an Integer, not an Option Integer!
- maxInt (x:xs) = Just (max x ((maxInt xs) `orElse` x))

- maxInt :: [Integer] -> Maybe Integer
- maxInt [] = Nothing
- maxInt [x] = Just x
- maxInt (x:xs) = Just (max x ((maxInt xs) `orElse` x))
- Does this look too complicated?
- There are ways to make it simpler---e.g. using map or fold which we'll see next week: map (max x) (maxInt xs)
- Equivalent Python code is actually longer, especially the recursive version
 - AND it's more error-prone
 - In Haskell, if we say we have an Integer, then we definitely have an Integer---not null, not ever.

Fallible Functions

- Error handling is a big topic.
- Not something-or-nothing; good-thing-or-bad-thing
- Haskell has Either (Left a | Right b), which you can use to return more informative errors (e.g., a file-reading function might return Either String FileReadError)
- Our pattern matching abilities make dealing with optional values straightforward (if verbose)
- Higher-order functions, which we'll see next week, are even more powerful and concise