# csci54 - discrete math \& functional programming 

 pattern matching, guards, where bindingsthis week

- week02-group
- please select pages for each question
- week02-ps-coding
- reminders
- assignment regrades
- missing lectures


## Last time - types

- specifying the type of a function:
name :: (typeClass var1, typeClass var1, typeClass var2, ...) =
var1 -> var2 -> returnVal


## Practice

- What are the types of the following functions?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { f1 'a' }=[] \\
& \text { f1 } x y=x: y
\end{aligned}
$$

f2 (x:y:z:w:l) =w

$$
\mathrm{f} 2=0
$$

- Discussion:
" use of wildcard character _
- what does (x:y:z:w:l) match to?
- Are both these definitions exhaustive?
- What do the functions do?


## Last time - pattern matching

- pattern matching:

```
maxList :: [Integer] -> Integer
maxList [] = error "empty list"
maxList [x] = x
maxList (x:xs) = max x (maxList xs)
```


## More pattern matching

- You can pattern match against multiple lists!
- Consider this function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { equal :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool } \\
& \text { equal }[][]=\text { True } \\
& \text { equal }[]=\text { False } \\
& \text { equal } \overline{[]}=\text { False } \\
& \text { equal (x:xs) (y:ys) = } \\
& \text { if } x==y \\
& \text { then equal xs ys } \\
& \text { else False }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pattern matching

- What breaks if you don't include (only) the $2^{\text {nd }}$ pattern?
- *** Exception: Non-exhaustive patterns in function equal'
- What breaks if you don't include (only) the $1^{\text {st }}$ pattern?
- will always return False

```
equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
equal' [] [] = True
equal' _ [] = False
equal' [] _ = False
equal' (x:xs) (y:ys) =
    if x == y
    then equal' xs ys
    else False
```


## One more practice question

- Consider a function every0ther that takes a list and returns a new list consisting of every other element in the original list starting with the first element. As an example, every0ther [1,5,2,4,-1] should return [1,2,-1]
- What is the type of every0ther?
- How would you implement every0ther using pattern matching?


## case

- We can also pattern-match within the body of a function:

```
last' xs =
case xs of
    [] -> error "empty list"
    [x] -> x
    x:xs -> last' xs
```

- This can be useful if you need to e.g. make a choice based on the return value of your recursive case


## Guards

- pattern-matching lets you specify cases based on values
- guards let you specify cases based on expressions
- can combine the two

```
equal :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
equal [] [] = True
equal _ [] = False
equal [] _ = False
equal (x:xs) (y:ys)=
    if x == y
    then equal xs ys
    else False
```

```
equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
```

equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
equal' [] [] = True
equal' [] [] = True
equal' _ [] = False
equal' _ [] = False
equal' [] _ = False
equal' [] _ = False
equal' (x:xs) (y:ys)
equal' (x:xs) (y:ys)
x == y = equal' xs ys
x == y = equal' xs ys
| otherwise = False

```
    | otherwise = False
```


## Where bindings

- Gives you the ability to name intermediate values

```
equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
equal' [] [] = True
equal' _ [] = False
equal' [] ] = False
equal' (x:\overline{xs) (y:ys)}
    x == y = equal' xs ys
    otherwise = False
- Scope: where bindings are
```

```
equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
```

equal' :: (Eq a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
equal' [] [] = True
equal' [] [] = True
equal' _ [] = False
equal' _ [] = False
equal' [] _ = False
equal' [] _ = False
equal' (x:xs) (y:ys)
equal' (x:xs) (y:ys)
x == y = rest
x == y = rest
| otherwise = False
| otherwise = False
where rest = equal' xs ys

```
                                    where rest = equal' xs ys
```

    visible to entire function
    
## Let bindings

- Similar to where
- scope is more localized
- does not bind across guards
- are expressions themselves
" syntax is "let <bindings> in <expression>

$$
\text { ghci> } 4 \text { * (let a = } 9 \text { in a + 1) + } 2
$$

## Practice

- What does the following function do?

```
                                    importing a module in Haskell
import Data.Char this one gives us functions including toLowe
mystery x y
    | aL > 'm' && bL > 'm' = "group 4"
    aL > 'm' && bL <= 'm' = "group 3"
    | aL <= 'm' && bL > 'm' = "group 2"
    | otherwise = "group 1"
    where (a: ) = x
    (b:_) = y
    aL = toLower a
    bL = toLower b
```

- Code is a little repetitive---how could it be simplified?


## Fallible Functions

- We see in functions like maxInt that some cases crash the program
- These "partial functions" can be tricky to work with
- What could we do in Python or Java to take the "maximum" of an empty list?
B...


## Option

- Let's introduce a new type:

```
data Option a =
        None
    | Some a
    deriving (Show, Eq)
```

- Option is common nowadays in C++, TypeScript, Rust, and other Pls
- We encode "either something or null" into the type, rather than as a language feature like undefined
- Then we can just write regular functions on it:

```
orElse :: Option a -> a -> a
orElse (Some a) = a
orElse None \(\mathrm{b}=\overline{\mathrm{b}}\)
```


## Option

```
data Option a =
    None
    | Some a
    deriving (Show, Eq)
```

- What's the issue with the code below?
- maxInt :: [Integer] -> Option Integer
- maxint [] = None
- maxInt $[x]=$ Some $x$
- maxint $(x: x s)=\max x$ (maxint $x s)$


## Option

```
data Option a =
    None
    | Some a
    deriving (Show, Eq)
```

- Will this do the trick?
- maxInt :: [Integer] -> Option Integer
- maxInt [] = None
- maxint $[x]=$ Some $x$
- maxint (x:xs) $=\max x$ (maxint $x s) \quad-$ an Option Integer, not an Integer!
- maxInt (x:xs) $=\max x((m a x I n t x s) `$ orElse` $x)$


## Option

```
data Option a =
    None
    | Some a
    deriving (Show, Eq)
```

- maxInt :: [Integer] -> Option Integer
- maxInt [] = None
- maxint [ $x$ ] = Some $x$
- maxInt (x:xs) $=\max x((\operatorname{maxInt} x s)$ 'orElse` $x))$ ^^^ an Integer, not an Option Integer!
- maxInt (x:xs) = Some (max x ((maxInt xs) `orElse` x))


## Option

- maxInt :: [Integer] -> Option Integer
- maxInt [] = None
- maxInt [x] = Some $x$
- maxInt (x:xs) = Some (max x ((maxInt xs) `orElse` x))
- Does this look too complicated?
- There are ways to make it simpler---e.g. using optionMap, or fold which we'll see next week: optionMap (max x) (maxint xs)
- Equivalent Python code is actually longer, especially the recursive version

E AND it's more error-prone
El In Haskell, if we say we have an Integer, then we definitely have an Integer---not null, not ever.

## Fallible Functions

- Error handling is a big topic.
- Haskell calls Option "Maybe" (Nothing | Just a)
- There's also Either (Left a | Right b), which you can use to return more informative errors (e.g., a file-reading function might return Either String FileReadError)
- Our pattern matching abilities make dealing with optional values straightforward (if verbose)
- Higher-order functions, which we'll see next week, are even more powerful and concise

