









E

F.







| Ru | nning ti | me of Pr | im' s | |
|----------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Array | 1 MakeHeap θ(V) | V ExtractMin O(V ²) | E DecreaseKey O(E) | Total O(V ²) |
| Bin heap | θ(V) | O(V log V) | O(E log V) | O((V + E) log V) O(E log V) |
| Fib heap | θ(V) | O(V log V) | O(E) Kruskal' | O(V log V + E) s: O(E log E) |

| Ru | nning ti | me of Pr | im' s | |
|----------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | When should | d we use Kruska | l's or Prim's? | |
| | 1 MakeHeap | V ExtractMin | E DecreaseKey | Total |
| Array | θ(V) | O(V ²) | 0(E) | O(V ²) |
| Bin heap | θ(V) | O(V log V) | O(E log V) | O((V + E) log V O(E log V) |
| Fib heap | θ(V) | O(V log V) | 0(E) | O(V log V + E |
| | | | Kruskal' s: O(E log E | |









































































Is Dijkstra's algorithm correct?

Invariant: For every vertex removed from the heap, dist[v] is the actual shortest distance from s to v

- The only time a vertex gets visited is when the distance from s to that vertex is smaller than the distance to any remaining vertex
- Therefore, there cannot be any other path that hasn't been visited already that would result in a shorter path

47



| Running time? | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Depends on the heap implementation | | | | | |
| | 1 MakeHeap | V ExtractMin | E DecreaseKey | Total | |
| Array | 0(V) | O(V ²) | 0(E) | O(V ²) | |
| Bin heap | O(V) | O(V log V) | O(E log V) | O((V + E) log V) O(E log V) | |

| Ru | nning ti | me? | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Depe | Depends on the heap implementation | | | | | |
| | 1 MakeHeap | V ExtractMin | E DecreaseKey | Total | | |
| Array | O(V) | O(V ²) | 0(E) | O(V ²) | | |
| Bin heap | O(V) | O(V log V) | O(E log V) | O((V + E) log V) O(E log V) | | |
| Is th | is an impro | ovement? | If E < V ² | / log V | | |

| Running time? | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Depends on the heap implementation | | | | | |
| 1 MakeHeap | V ExtractMin | E DecreaseKey | Total | | |
| O(V) | O(V ²) | O(E) | O(V ²) | | |
| O(V) | O(V log V) | O(E log V) | O((V + E) log V) O(E log V) | | |
| O(V) | O(V log V) | 0(E) | O(V log V + E) | | |
| | nds on the 1 MakeHeap O(V) O(V) O(V) | nds on the heap implem 1 MakeHeap [V] ExtractMin 0([V]) 0([V] ²) 0([V]) 0([V] log [V]) 0([V]) 0([V] log [V]) | nds on the heap implementation 1 MakeHeap V ExtractMin [E] DecreaseKey 0(V) 0(V ²) 0(E) 0(V) 0(V log V) 0(E log V) 0(V) 0(V log V) 0(E) | | |







ls Dijkstra's algorithm correct?

Invariant: For every vertex removed from the heap, dist[v] is the actual shortest distance from s to v

The only time a vertex gets visited is when the distance from s to that vertex is smaller than the distance to any remaining vertex

Therefore, there cannot be any other path that hasn't been visited already that would result in a shorter path

We relied on having positive edge weights for correctness!



Bounding the distance

Another invariant: For each vertex v, dist[v] is an upper bound on the actual shortest distance $\begin{array}{c} \text{Durstrat}(G,s) \\ 1 \quad \text{for all } v \in V \\ 2 \quad dist[v] = \infty \\ 3 \quad dist[v] = \infty \\ 4 \quad dist[v] = -mull \\ 4 \quad dist[v] = -mull \\ 6 \quad while ! \text{ExtractMix}(Q) \\ 7 \quad \text{of while ! Extract}(Q) \\ 8 \quad \text{for all edges}(u, v) \in E \\ 0 \quad \text{if } dist[v] = -dist[u] + w(u, v) \\ 10 \quad \text{DurstratkExt}(Q, v, dist[v]) \\ 12 \quad \text{prev}[v] = u \\ 12 \quad \text{Is this a valid invariant?} \end{array}$

58

Bounding the distance

Another invariant: For each vertex v, $\mathsf{dist}[v]$ is an upper bound on the actual shortest distance

- start off at ∞
- only update the value if we find a shorter distance

An update procedure: for an edge (u,v) $dist[v] = \min \{ dist[v], dist[u] + w(u,v) \}$

$dist[v] = min\{dist[v], dist[u] + w(u, v)\}$

Can we ever go wrong applying this update rule?

We can apply this rule as many times as we want and will never underestimate dist[v]

When will dist[v] be right?

If u is along the shortest path to v and dist[u] is correct

59





(pk)-v

 (\mathbf{v}) (pk)-





(pk)--(v)































































All pairs shortest paths

All pairs shortest paths: calculate the shortest paths between all vertices

Easy solution?

All pairs shortest paths

All pairs shortest paths: calculate the shortest paths between all vertices

Run Bellman-Ford from each vertex!

Running time (in terms of E and V)?

105

106



107

108



