Volatile

- *Atomic* action: effectively happens all at once
  - `x++` is not an atomic action!
- Java contains *volatile* keyword
- Changes to a volatile variable are always visible to other threads
- Accesses don’t count as data races
- Implementation forces memory consistency
  - though slower!
- Really for experts -- better to use locks.
Lock granularity

- Coarse-grained: Fewer locks, i.e., more objects per lock
  - Example: One lock for entire data structure (e.g., array)
  - Example: One lock for all bank accounts
- Fine-grained: More locks, i.e., fewer objects per lock
  - Example: One lock per data element (e.g., array index)
  - Example: One lock per bank account
- “Coarse-grained vs. fine-grained” is really a continuum.
Granularity trade-offs

- Coarse-grained advantages:
  - Simpler to implement
  - Faster/easier to implement operations that access multiple locations (because all guarded by the same lock)
  - Much easier for operations that modify data-structure shape

- Fine-grained advantages:
  - More simultaneous access (performance when coarse-grained would lead to unnecessary blocking)

- Guideline: Start with coarse-grained (simpler) and move to fine-grained (performance) only if contention on the coarser locks becomes an issue. Alas, often leads to bugse.
Critical-section granularity

- A second, orthogonal granularity issue is critical section size
  - How much work to do while holding lock(s)
- If critical sections run for too long:
  - Performance loss because other threads are blocked
- If critical sections are too short:
  - Bugs because you broke up something where other threads should not be able to see intermediate state
- Guideline: Don’t do expensive computations or I/O in critical sections, but also don’t introduce race conditions
Don’t roll your own

• Most data structures provided in standard libraries
  • Point of lectures is to understand the key trade-offs and abstractions

• Especially true for concurrent data structures
  • Far too difficult to provide fine-grained synchronization without race conditions
  • Standard thread-safe libraries like `ConcurrentHashMap` written by world experts

• Guideline: Use built-in libraries whenever they meet your needs
  • e.g., `Vector` vs `ArrayList`. `Vector` is synchronized, `ArrayList` assumes program is thread-safe
Deadlock
Deadlock

class BankAccount {
    ...
    synchronized void withdraw(int amt) { ... }
    synchronized void deposit(int amt) { ... }
    synchronized void transferTo(int amt, BankAccount a) {
        this.withdraw(amt);
        a.deposit(amt);
    }
}

The deadlock

Suppose x and y are static fields holding accounts

Thread 1: x.transferTo(1,y)
-------------------------
acquire lock for x
withdraw 1 from x

block on lock for y

Thread 2: y.transferTo(1,x)
-------------------------
acquire lock for y
withdraw 1 from y
block on lock for x
Deadlock in general

• A deadlock occurs when there are threads $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ such that:
  • For $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, $T_i$ is waiting for a resource held by $T_{i+1}$
  • $T_n$ is waiting for a resource held by $T_1$

• In other words, there is a cycle of waiting
  • Can formalize as a graph of dependencies with cycles bad

• Deadlock avoidance in programming amounts to techniques to ensure a cycle can never arise
Back to our example

- Options for deadlock-proof transfer:
  1. Make a smaller critical section: `transferTo` not synchronized
     - Exposes intermediate state after `withdraw` before `deposit`
     - May be okay here, but exposes wrong total amount in bank
  2. Coarsen lock granularity: one lock for all accounts allowing transfers between them
     - Works, but sacrifices concurrent deposits/withdrawals
  3. Give every bank-account a unique number and always acquire locks in the same order
     - Entire program should obey this order to avoid cycles
     - Code acquiring only one lock can ignore the order
Concurrency summary

- Concurrent programming allows multiple threads to access shared resources (e.g., hash table, work queue)
- Introduces new kinds of bugs:
  - Data races and Bad Interleavings
  - Deadlocks
- Requires synchronization
  - Locks for mutual exclusion
  - Other Synchronization Primitives
- Guidelines for correct use help avoid common pitfalls
- Shared Memory model is not only approach, but other approaches (e.g., message passing) are not painless either
IT'S OVER

IT'S FINALLY OVER
Graphs

- Represent relationships that exist between pairs of objects
- Nothing to do with charts and function plots!
- Extremely versatile, can be used to represent many problems
The Graph ADT

A graph $G = (V, E)$

- $V$ is a finite, non-empty set of vertices (or nodes)
- $E$ is a binary relation on $V$
  (that is, $E$ is a collection of edges that connects pairs of vertices)
- Edges are either directed or undirected
Applications

• transportation networks (flights, roads, etc.)
  • flights and flight patterns.
  • what sort of questions might we ask? What sort of application might we be interested in having a graph?
  • booking flights, picking shortest time? shortest distance?
  • airlines save fuel, number of people who use the route

• Google maps
  • driving directions, mapping out sightseeing
More Applications

• communications networks/utility networks
  • electrical grid, phone networks, computer networks
  • minimize cost for building infrastructure
  • minimize losses, route packets faster

• social networks
  • Does this person know that person.
  • Can this person introduce me to that person – job opportunities
Undirected Graphs

Example: $G = (V, E)$, where

- $V = \{A, B, C, D\}$
- $E = \{\{A, C\}, \{A, B\}, \{A, D\}, \{B, D\}\}$
Definitions for Undirected Graphs

• **subgraph**: is a subset of a graph's edges (and associated vertices) that constitutes a graph.

• **path**: a sequence of connected vertices.
  • **simple path** - a path where all vertices occur only once.

• **path length**: number of edges in the path.
  • Example: path C-A-D-B has length 3.

• **cycle**: path of length $\geq 1$ that begins and ends with the same vertex.
  • Example: path A-D-B-A is a cycle.

• **simple cycle**: a simple path that begins and ends with the same vertex.
More Definitions for Undirected Graphs

- **self loop**: Cycle consisting of one edge and one vertex.
- **adjacent vertices**: when connected by an edge.
- **incident edge**: the edge that is incident on two adjacent vertices
  - Edge (A,B) above is incident on adjacent vertices A and B
- **degree**: number of incident edges on a vertex.
- **simple graph**: a graph with no self loops.
- **acyclic graph**: a graph with no cycles.
- **connected vertices**: if path that connects them exists
- **connected graph**: a graph where every pair of vertices is connected by a path.
- **tree**: acyclic connected graph
- **forest**: disjoint set of trees
Directed Graphs (Digraphs)

Example: $G = (V, E)$, where

- $V = \{1, 3, 9, 13\}$
- $E = \{(1,3), (3,1), (13,1), (9,9)(9,13)\}$
Definitions for Digraphs

- **subgraph**: subset of a digraph's edges (and associated vertices) that constitutes a digraph.
- **path**: sequence of vertices with a (directed) edge pointing from each vertex to its successor
  - **simple path** – a path where all vertices occur only once.
- **length**: number of edges in the path.
- **cycle**: directed path of length $\geq 1$ that begins and ends with the same vertex.
  - **simple cycle**: a simple path that begins and ends with the same vertex.
More Definitions for Digraphs

• **self loop**: Cycle consisting of one edge and one vertex.
  • Example: 9

• **outdegree**: number of edges pointing from it.

• **indegree**: number of edges pointing to it.

• **directed acyclic graph (DAG)**: a digraph with no directed cycles.
Even More Definitions for Digraphs

- **reachable vertices**: when there is a directed path from one to another.
- **strongly connected vertices**: if mutually reachable
- **strongly connected digraph**: directed path from every vertex to every other vertex
- **weakly connected graph**: a digraph that would be connected if all of its directed edges were replaced by undirected edges.