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What order will BFS and DFS visit the states?

DFS: $1,4,3,8,7,6,9,2,5$

Why not $1,2,5$ ?

Depth first search (DFS): to_visit is a stack
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Depth first search (DFS): to_visit is a stack Breadth first search (BFS): to_visit is a queue


What order will BFS and DFS visit the states?

DFS: $1,4,3,8,7,6,9,5$
BFS: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

Depth first search (DFS): to_visit is a stack Breadth first search (BFS): to_visit is a queue
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What order will BFS and DFS visit the states?

DFS: $1,4,3,8,7,6,9,2,5$


Search variants implemented




## Missionaries and Cannibals

Three missionaries and three cannibals wish to cross the river. They have a small boat that will carry up to two people. Everyone can navigate the boat. If at any time the Cannibals outnumber the Missionaries on either bank of the river, they will eat the Missionaries. Find the smallest number of crossings that will allow everyone to cross the river safely.

| Missionary1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Missionary2 |
| Missionary3 |
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Three missionaries and three cannibals wish to cross the river. They have a small boat that will carry up to two people. Everyone can navigate the boat. If at any time the Cannibals outnumber the Missionaries on either bank of the river, they will eat the Missionaries. Find the smallest number of crossings that will allow everyone to cross the river safely.

What is the "state" of this problem (it should capture all possible valid configurations)?
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| Missionaries and Cannibals Solution |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Near side |  | Far | side |
| 0 | Initial setup: | MMMCCC | B |  | - |
| 1 | Two cannibals cross over: | ммМС |  | B |  |
| 2 | One comes back: | ммMCC | B |  | C |
| 3 | Two cannibals go over again: | MMM |  | B | CCC |
| 4 | One comes back: | ммМС | B |  | CC |
| 5 | Two missionaries cross: | MC |  | B | MMCC |
|  | A missionary \& cannibal return: | MMCC | B |  | MC |
|  | Two missionaries cross again: | CC |  | B | MMMC |
|  | A cannibal returns: | CCC | B |  | MMM |
|  | Two cannibals cross: | C |  | B | MMMCC |
|  | 0 One returns: | CC | B |  | MMMC |
|  | 1 And brings over the third: | - |  | B | MMMCCC |
| How is this solution different than the n-queens problem? |  |  |  |  |  |


| Missionaries and Cannibals Solution |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Near side Far side |  |  |  |
| 0 Initial setup: | MMMCCC | B |  | - |
| 1 Two cannibals cross over: | мммС |  | B | CC |
| 2 One comes back: | ммМСС | B |  | C |
| 3 Two cannibals go over again: | мMM |  | B |  |
| 4 One comes back: | мммС | B |  | CC |
| 5 Two missionaries cross: | MC |  | B | MMCC |
| 6 A missionary \& cannibal return: | мМСС | B |  | MC |
| 7 Two missionaries cross again: | CC |  | B | мммС |
| 8 A cannibal returns: | CCC | B |  | MMM |
| 9 Two cannibals cross: | c |  | B | ммMCC |
| 10 One returns: | CC | B |  | ммМС |
| 11 And brings over the third: | - |  | B | мммеСС |
| Solution is not a state, but a sequence of actions (or a sequence of states) |  |  |  |  |




DFS vs. BFS

Why do we use DFS then, and not BFS?


DFS vs. BFS


At any point, need to remember roughly a "row"


## DFS vs. BFS



Consider a search problem where each
state has two states you can reach
Assume the goal state involves 20 actions, i.e. moving between $\sim 20$ states

Only one path through the tree, roughly 20 states

DFS avoiding repeats
def dfs(state, visited):
\# note that we've visited this state
visited[str(state)] = True
if state.is_goal():
return [state]
else:
result $=[]$

for | in state.next_states(): |
| :---: |
| \# check if we've visited a state already |
| if not (str (s) in visited): |
| result $+=$ dfs(s, visited) |

return result

