



## Asymptotic notation

- How do you answer the question: "what is the running time of algorithm *x*?"
- We need a way to talk about the computational cost of an algorithm that focuses on the essential parts and ignores irrelevant details
- We' ve seen some of this already:
  - linear
  - *n* log *n*
  - n<sup>2</sup>



## Asymptotic notation

- Precisely calculating the actual steps is tedious and not generally useful
- Different operations take different amounts of time. Even from run to run, things such as caching, etc. cause variations
- Want to identify **categories** of algorithmic runtimes



Runti	me e	xam	ples			
	n	$n \log n$	$n^2$	$n^3$	$2^n$	n!
n = 10	< 1  sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1  sec	< 1  sec	4 sec
n = 30	< 1  sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1  sec	< 18 min	$10^{25}$ years
n = 100	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	1  sec	1s	$10^{17}$ years	very long
n = 1000	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	1  sec	$18 \min$	very long	very long
n = 10,000	< 1  sec	< 1 sec	$2 \min$	12 days	very long	very long
n = 100,000	< 1  sec	2 sec	3 hours	32 years	very long	very long
n = 1,000,000	1 sec	20  sec	12 days	31,710 years	very long	very long
(adapted from [2	], Table 2.	1, pg. 34)				



























#### worst-case vs. best-case vs. average-case

- worst-case: what is the worst the running time of the algorithm can be?
- best-case: what is the best the running time of the algorithm can be?
- average-case: given random data, what is the running time of the algorithm?
- **Don't** confuse this with O,  $\Omega$  and  $\Theta$ . The cases above are *situations*, asymptotic notation is about bounding particular situations

# Proving bounds: find constants that satisfy inequalities

- Show that  $5n^2 15n + 100$  is  $\Theta(n^2)$
- Step 1: Prove O(n<sup>2</sup>) Find constants c and n<sub>0</sub> such that 5n<sup>2</sup> – 15n + 100 ≤ cn<sup>2</sup> for all n > n<sub>0</sub>

$$cn^2 \geq 5n^2 - 15n + 100$$

$$c \geq 5 - 15/n + 100/n^2$$

Let  $n_0 = 1$  and c = 5 + 100 = 105. 100/n<sup>2</sup> only get smaller as *n* increases and we ignore -15/*n* since it only varies between -15 and 0





#### Some rules of thumb

- Multiplicative constants can be omitted
  - $14n^2$  becomes  $n^2$
  - 7 log *n* become log *n*
- Lower order functions can be omitted
  - n + 5 becomes n
  - n<sup>2</sup> + n becomes n<sup>2</sup>
- $n^a$  dominates  $n^b$  if a > b
  - n<sup>2</sup> dominates n, so n<sup>2</sup>+n becomes n<sup>2</sup>
  - n<sup>1.5</sup> dominates n<sup>1.4</sup>





- 3<sup>n</sup> dominates n<sup>5</sup>
- 2<sup>n</sup> dominates n<sup>c</sup>
- Any polynomial dominates any logorithm
  - *n* dominates log *n* or log log *n*
  - n<sup>2</sup> dominates n log n
  - n<sup>1/2</sup> dominates log n
- Do **not** omit lower order terms of different variables (*n*<sup>2</sup> + *m*) does not become *n*<sup>2</sup>



#### Some examples

- O(*n*) linear. Do a constant amount of work on each element of the input
  - find an item in a linked list
  - determine the largest element in an array
- O(*n* log *n*) log-linear. Divide and conquer algorithms with a linear amount of work to recombine
  - Sort a list of number with MergeSort
  - FFT

#### Some examples

- O(*n*<sup>2</sup>) quadratic. Double nested loops that iterate over the data
  - Insertion sort
- O(2<sup>n</sup>) exponential
  - Enumerate all possible subsets
  - Traveling salesman using dynamic programming
- O(n!)
  - Enumerate all permutations
  - determinant of a matrix with expansion by minors



• **Combine**: Given the results of the solved subproblems, combine them to generate a solution for the complete problem

# Divide and Conquer: some thoughts



- Often, the sub-problem is the same as the original problem
- Dividing the problem in half frequently does the job
- May have to get creative about how the data is split
- Splitting tends to generate run times with log *n* in them

#### **Divide and Conquer: Sorting**

- How should we split the data?
- What are the subproblems we need to solve?

• How do we combine the results from these subproblems?

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Merç	<b>jeSort</b>	
1 if $length[A] == 1$ 2 return A 3 else 4 $q \leftarrow \lfloor length[A]/2 \rfloor$ 5 create arrays $L[1q]$ and $R[q + 1 length[A]]$ 6 copy $A[1q]$ to $L$ 7 copy $A[q + 1 length[A]]$ to $R$ 8 $LS \leftarrow MERGE-SORT(L)$ 9 $RS \leftarrow MERGE-SORT(R)$	ME	$\operatorname{erge-Sort}(A)$	
2 return A 3 else 4 $q \leftarrow \lfloor length[A]/2 \rfloor$ 5 create arrays $L[1.q]$ and $R[q + 1 length[A]]$ 6 copy $A[1.q]$ to $L$ 7 copy $A[q + 1 length[A]]$ to $R$ 8 $LS \leftarrow MERGE-SORT(L)$ 9 $RS \leftarrow MERGE-SORT(R)$	1	if $length[A] == 1$	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2	return A	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	3	else	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	4	$q \leftarrow \lfloor length[A] / 2 \rfloor$	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	5	create arrays $L[1q]$ and $R[q + 1 length[A]]$	
7 $\operatorname{copy} A[q+1 \operatorname{length}[A]]$ to R 8 $LS \leftarrow \operatorname{Merge-Sort}(L)$ 9 $RS \leftarrow \operatorname{Merge-Sort}(R)$	6	copy $A[1q]$ to $L$	
8 $LS \leftarrow \text{Merge-Sort}(L)$ 9 $RS \leftarrow \text{Merge-Sort}(R)$	7	copy $A[q + 1 length[A]]$ to R	
9 $RS \leftarrow MERGE-SORT(R)$	8	$LS \leftarrow \text{Merge-Sort}(L)$	
	9	$RS \leftarrow \text{Merge-Sort}(R)$	
10 return MERGE(LS, RS)	10	return Merge(LS, RS)	



































Mer	ge	
<ul> <li>Is it</li> </ul>	correct?	
• L 2 6	.cop invariant: At the beginning of the <b>for</b> loop of lines I-10 the first k-1 elements of B are the smallest k-1 elements from L and R in sorted order.	
Me	$\operatorname{RGE}(L,R)$	
1	create array B of length $length[L] + length[R]$	
2	$i \leftarrow 1$	
3	$j \leftarrow 1$	
4	for $k \leftarrow 1$ to $length[B]$	
5	if $j > length[R]$ or $(i \leq length[L]$ and $L[i] \leq R[j])$	
6	$B[k] \leftarrow L[i]$	
7	$i \leftarrow i+1$	
8	else	
9	$B[k] \leftarrow R[j]$	
10	$j \leftarrow j+1$	
11	return B	



