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Assignment 3

Quiz \#1

How was the lab last Thursday?

Simplified View of Linguistics

| Nhonetics |
| :--- |
| Nikolai Trubetzkoy in Grundzüge der Phonologie (1939) defines phonology as <br> "the study of sound pertaining to the system of language," as opposed to <br> phonetics, which is "the study of sound pertaining to the act of speech." <br> hitpo//en.wikipedia.ora/wiki/Phonology |
| Phonetics: "The study of the pronunciation of words" <br> Phonology: "The areas of linguistics that describes the systematic way that <br> sounds are differently realized in different environments" <br> --The book |

Not to be confused with...



## CFG: Example

Many possible CFGs for English, here is an example (fragment):
$S \rightarrow N P$ VP
$\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VNP}$
$N P \rightarrow \operatorname{DetP} \mathrm{~N} \mid \operatorname{AdjP} \mathrm{NP}$
AdjP $\rightarrow$ Adi | Adv Adip
$\mathrm{N} \rightarrow$ boy | girl
$\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ sees \| likes
Adj $\rightarrow$ big $\mid$ small
Adv $\rightarrow$ very
DetP $\rightarrow$ a $\mid$ the

Formally...
$G=(N T, T, P, S)$

NT: finite set of nonterminal symbols

T: finite set of terminal symbols, NT and T are disjoint

P: finite set of productions of the form
$\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \alpha, \mathrm{A} \in \mathrm{NT}$ and $\alpha \in(\mathrm{T} \cup \mathrm{NT})^{*}$
$S \in N T$ : start symbol

## Grammar questions

Can we determine if a sentence is grammatical?

Given a sentence, can we determine the syntactic structure?

Can we determine how likely a sentence is to be grammatical? to be an English sentence?

Can we generate candidate, grammatical sentences?

Which of these can we answer with a CFG? How?



| Derivations in a CFG |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text { NP VP } \\ & \mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \text { V NP } \\ & \mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \text { DetP N \| AdjP NP } \\ & \text { AdjP } \rightarrow \text { Adj \| Adv AdjP } \\ & \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \text { boy \| girl } \\ & \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \text { sees \| likes } \\ & \text { Adi } \rightarrow \text { big \| small } \\ & \text { Adv } \rightarrow \text { very } \\ & \operatorname{DetP} \rightarrow \text { a } \mid \text { the } \end{aligned}$ | S |









| Parsing |
| :--- |
| Parsing is the field of NLP interested in |
| automatically determining the syntactic structure of |
| a sentence |
| parsing can be thought of as determining what |
| sentences are "valid" English sentences |
| As a by product, we often can get the structure |

## Parsing

Given a CFG and a sentence, determine the possible parse tree(s)

| S.>NP VP | I eat sushi with tuna |
| :---: | :---: |
| NP -> N |  |
| NP -> PRP | What parse trees are possible for this |
| NP $\rightarrow$ N PP | What parse frees are possible for this |
| VP $->$ VNP | sentence? |
| PP -> INN |  |
|  |  |
| PRP $\rightarrow$ I | How did you do it? |
| $V \rightarrow$ eat |  |
| $\mathrm{N}->$ sushi |  |
| N -> tuna IN -> with | What if the grammar is much larger? |

Parsing
Parsing ambiguity

| A Simple PCFG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probabilities! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\rightarrow$ | NP VP | 1.0 |  | $\rightarrow$ | NP PP | 0.4 |
| VP | $\rightarrow$ | $V \mathrm{NP}$ | 0.7 | NP | $\rightarrow$ | astronomers | 0.1 |
| VP | $\rightarrow$ | VP PP | 0.3 | NP | $\rightarrow$ | ears | 0.18 |
| PP | $\rightarrow$ | P NP | 1.0 | NP | $\rightarrow$ | saw | 0.04 |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | with | 1.0 | NP | $\rightarrow$ | stars | 0.18 |
| V | $\rightarrow$ |  | 1.0 |  |  | telescope | 0.1 |




## Estimating PCFG Probabilities

We can extract the rules from the trees


How do we go from the extracted CFG rules to PCFG rules?



## Grammar Equivalence

Weak equivalence: grammars generate same set of strings

$$
\square \text { Grammar 1: NP } \rightarrow \operatorname{DetPN} \text { and } \operatorname{DetP} \rightarrow \text { a | the }
$$

$$
\square \text { Grammar 2: } \mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \mathrm{aN} \mid \text { the } \mathrm{N}
$$

Strong equivalence: grammars have same set of derivation trees
$\square$ With CFGs, possible only with useless rules
$\square$ Grammar 2: $N P \rightarrow a N \mid$ the $N$
$\square$ Grammar 3: NP $\rightarrow a N \mid$ the $N, \operatorname{DetP} \rightarrow$ many
Normal Forms
There are weakly equivalent normal forms (Chomsky
Normal Form, Greibach Normal Form)
A CFG is in Chomsky Normal Form (CNF) if all
productions are of one of two forms:
$\quad \square A \rightarrow B C$ with A, B, C nonterminals
$\square A \rightarrow a$, with A a nonterminal and a a terminal
Every CFG has a weakly equivalent CFG in CNF

| CNF Grammar |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S -> VP } \\ & \text { VP -> VB NP } \\ & \text { VP -> VB NP PP } \\ & \text { NP -> DT NN } \\ & \text { NP -> NN } \\ & \text { NP -> NP PP } \\ & \text { PP -> IN NP } \\ & \text { DT -> the } \\ & \text { IN -> with } \\ & \text { VB -> film } \\ & \text { VB -> trust } \\ & \text { NN -> man } \\ & \text { NN -> film } \\ & \text { NN -> trust } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S -> VP } \\ & \text { VP -> VB NP } \\ & \text { VP -> VP2 PP } \\ & \text { VP2 -> VB NP } \\ & \text { NP -> DT NN } \\ & \text { NP -> NN } \\ & \text { NP -> NP PP } \\ & \text { PP -> IN NP } \\ & \text { DT -> the } \\ & \text { IN -> with } \\ & \text { VB -> film } \\ & \text { VB -> trust } \\ & \text { NN -> man } \\ & \text { NN -> film } \\ & \text { NN -> trust } \end{aligned}$ |


| Probabilistic Grammar Conversion Original Grammar Chomsky Normal Form |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{N P} \text { VP } \\ & \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text { Aux NP VP } \end{aligned}$ | 0.8 | $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow$ NP VP | 0.8 |
|  | 0.1 | $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{VP}$ | 0.1 |
|  |  | X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{VP}$ | 0.1 | $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \underset{\mathbf{0 . 0 1}}{\text { book \| inclu }} \mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow$ Verb NP | 0.05 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow$ VP PP | 0.03 |
| NP $\rightarrow$ Pronoun | 0.2 | $\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \mathbf{I} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|l\|} \text { he } \mid \\ 0.1 & 0.02 \end{array}\right.$ |  |
| NP $\rightarrow$ Proper-Noun | 0.2 | $\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \underset{0.16}{\text { Houston }} \mid$ |  |
| NP $\rightarrow$ Det Nominal Nominal $\rightarrow$ Noun | 0.6 | NP $\rightarrow$ Det Nomi | 0.6 |
|  | 0.3 | $\text { Nominal } \rightarrow \underset{0.02}{\text { bool }}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nominal } \rightarrow \text { Nominal Noun } \\ & \text { Nominal } \rightarrow \text { Nominal PP } \\ & \text { VP } \rightarrow \text { Verb } \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 | Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nom | 0.2 |
|  | 0.5 | Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nom | 0.5 |
|  | 0.2 | $\mathbf{V P} \rightarrow \underset{0.1}{\text { book }} \mid \underset{0.0}{\text { inc }}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { VP } \rightarrow \text { Verb NP } \\ & \text { VP } \rightarrow \text { VP PP } \\ & \mathbf{P P} \rightarrow \text { Prep NP } \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 | VP $\rightarrow$ Verb NP | 0.5 |
|  | 0.3 | $\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VP} \mathbf{P P}$ | 0.3 |
|  | 1.0 | $\mathbf{P P} \rightarrow$ Prep NP | 1.0 |



| Grammar questions |
| :--- |
| Can we determine if a sentence is <br> grammatical? <br> Given a sentence, can we determine the <br> syntactic structure? |
| Can we determine how likely a sentence is to <br> be grammatical? to be an English sentence? |
| Can we generate candidate, grammatical <br> sentences? |

